arXiv:0704.3387v1 [math.FA] 25 Apr 2007

NONCOMMUTATIVE BEREZIN TRANSFORMS AND MODEL THEORY

GELU POPESCU

Abstract. In this paper, we initiate the study of a class $\mathbf{D}_p^m(\mathcal{H})$ of noncommutative domains of n-tuples of bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, where $m \geq 2$, $n \geq 2$, and p is a positive regular polynomial in n noncommutative indeterminates. These domains are defined by certain positivity conditions on p, i.e.,

$$\mathbf{D}_p^m(\mathcal{H}) := \left\{ X := (X_1, \ldots, X_n) : \ (1-p)^k (X, X^*) \geq 0 \ \text{ for } \ 1 \leq k \leq m \right\}.$$

Each such a domain has a universal model $(W_1, \ldots, W_n)$ of weighted shifts acting on the full Fock space $F^2(H_n)$ with n generators. The study of $\mathbf{D}_p^m(\mathcal{H})$ is close related to the study of the weighted shifts $W_1, \ldots, W_n$, their joint invariant subspaces, and the representations of the algebras they generate: the domain algebra $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathbf{D}_p^m)$, the Hardy algebra $F_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_p^m)$, and the C^*-algebra $C^*(W_1, \ldots, W_n)$. A good part of this paper deals with these issues.

The main tool, which we introduce here, is a noncommutative Berezin type transform associated with each n-tuple of operators in $\mathbf{D}_p^m(\mathcal{H})$. The study of this transform and its boundary behavior leads to Fatou type results, functional calculi, and a model theory for n-tuples of operators in $\mathbf{D}_p^m(\mathcal{H})$. These results extend to noncommutative varieties $\mathcal{V}_{p,\mathcal{Q}}^m(\mathcal{H}) \subset \mathbf{D}_p^m(\mathcal{H})$ generated by classes $\mathcal{Q}$ of noncommutative polynomials. When $m \geq 2$, $n \geq 2$, $p = Z_1 + \cdots + Z_n$, and $\mathcal{Q} = 0$, the elements of the corresponding variety $\mathcal{V}_{p,\mathcal{Q}}^m(\mathcal{H})$ can be seen as multivariable noncommutative analogues of Agler's m-hypercontractions.

Our results apply, in particular, when $\mathcal{Q}$ consists of the noncommutative polynomials $Z_iZ_j - Z_jZ_i$, $i, j = 1, \ldots, n$. In this case, the model space is a symmetric weighted Fock space $F_s^2(\mathbf{D}_p^m)$, which is identified with a reproducing kernel Hilbert space of holomorphic functions on a Reinhardt domain in $\mathbb{C}^n$, and the universal model is the n-tuple $(M_{\lambda_1}, \ldots, M_{\lambda_n})$ of multipliers by the coordinate functions. In this particular case, we obtain a model theory for commuting n-tuples of operators in $\mathbf{D}_p^m(\mathcal{H})$, recovering several results already existent in the literature.

Introduction

Let $\mathbb{F}_n^+$ be the unital free semigroup on n generators $g_1, \ldots, g_n$ and the identity g_0, and consider a polynomial $q = q(Z_1, \ldots, Z_n) = \sum c_\alpha Z_\alpha$ in noncommutative indeterminates $Z_1, \ldots, Z_n$, where we denote $Z_\alpha := Z_{i_1} \ldots Z_{i_k}$ if $\alpha = g_{i_1} \ldots g_{i_k} \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$, $i_1, \ldots i_k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, and $Z_{g_0} := I$. We associate with q the operator

$$q(X, X^*) := \sum c_\alpha X_\alpha X_\alpha^*,$$

where $X := (X_1, \ldots, X_n) \in B(\mathcal{H})^n$ and $B(\mathcal{H})$ is the algebra of all bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Let $p = p(Z_1, \ldots, Z_n) = \sum a_\alpha Z_\alpha$, $a_\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, be a positive regular polynomial, i.e., $a_\alpha \geq 0$, $a_{g_0} = 0$, and $a_{g_i} > 0$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Given $m, n \in \{1, 2, \ldots\}$, we define the noncommutative domain

$$\mathbf{D}_p^m(\mathcal{H}) := \left\{ X := (X_1, \ldots, X_n) \in B(\mathcal{H})^n : \ (1-p)^k (X, X^*) \geq 0 \ \text{ for } \ 1 \leq k \leq m \right\}.$$

In the last fifty years, these domains have been studied in several particular cases. Most of all, we should mention that the study of the closed operator unit ball

$$[B(\mathcal{H})]_1^- := \{X \in B(\mathcal{H}) : \ I - XX^* \geq 0\}$$

Date: February 28, 2007.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 47A20, 47A56; Secondary: 47A13, 47A63.

Key words and phrases. Multivariable operator theory, Noncommutative domain, Noncommutative variety, Dilation theory, Model theory, Weighted shift, Wold decomposition, Fock space, von Neumann inequality, Berezin transform, Fock space, Creation operators.

Research supported in part by an NSF grant.

(which corresponds to the case $m = 1$, $n = 1$, and $p = Z$) has generated the celebrated Sz.-Nagy–Foias theory of contractions on Hilbert spaces and has had profound implications in function theory, interpolation, prediction theory, scattering theory, and linear system theory (see [52], [22], [23], [10], etc). The case when $m = 1$, $n \geq 2$, and $p = Z_1 + \cdots + Z_n$, corresponds to the closed operator ball

$$[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1^- := \{(X_1, \ldots, X_n) \in B(\mathcal{H})^n : \ I - X_1X_1^* - \cdots - X_nX_n^* \geq 0\}$$

and its study has generated a *free* analogue of Sz.-Nagy–Foias theory (see [21], [13], [30], [31], [32], [33], [34], [35], [36], [37], [38], [39], [16], [11], [40], [42], [43], [45], [46], etc.) The commutative case, which corresponds to the subvariety of $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ determined by the commutators $Z_iZ_j - Z_jZ_i$, $i, j = 1, \ldots, n$, was considered by Drurry [20], extensively studied by Arveson [7], and considered by the author [39] in connection with noncommutative Poisson transforms. More general subvarieties in $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$, determined by classes of noncommutative polynomials, were considered by the author in [43] and [45]. The study of the unit ball $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ was extended, in [47], to noncommutative domains $\mathbf{D}_p^n(\mathcal{H})$ (resp. subvarieties) when $m = 1$, $n \geq 1$, and p is any positive regular noncommutative polynomial (resp. free holomorphic function in the sense of [44]).

In this paper, we initiate the study of noncommutative domains $\mathbf{D}_p^m(\mathcal{H})$, when $m \geq 2$, $n \geq 2$, and p is any positive regular noncommutative polynomial. What makes the case $m \geq 2$ quite different from the case $m = 1$ is that $\mathbf{D}_p^m(\mathcal{H})$ is not a ball-like domain, when $m \geq 2$. This can be seen even in the single variable case ($n = 1$) (see [1], [2], [26], [27]). We introduce a class of noncommutative Berezin transforms associated with any n-tuple of operators in $\mathbf{D}_p^m(\mathcal{H})$. The study of these transforms and their boundary behavior leads to Fatou type results, functional calculi, and a model theory for n-tuples of operators in $\mathbf{D}_p^m(\mathcal{H})$. Our results extend to noncommutative varieties $\mathcal{V}_{p,\mathcal{Q}}^m(\mathcal{H})$ generated by classes $\mathcal{Q}$ of noncommutative polynomials, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{V}_{p,\mathcal{Q}}^m(\mathcal{H}) := \left\{(X_1, \ldots, X_n) \in \mathbf{D}_p^m(\mathcal{H}) : \ q(X_1, \ldots, X_n) = 0, \, q \in \mathcal{Q}\right\}.$$

In Section 1, we associate with each $m, n \in \{1, 2, \ldots\}$ and each positive regular noncommutative polynomial $p = p(Z_1, \ldots, Z_n) = \sum a_\alpha Z_\alpha$, a noncommutative domain $\mathbf{D}_p^m(\mathcal{H}) \subset B(\mathcal{H})^n$ and a unique n-tuple $(W_1, \ldots, W_n)$ of weighted shifts acting on the full Fock space $F^2(H_n)$ with n generators. They will play the role of the *universal model* for the elements of $\mathbf{D}_p^m(\mathcal{H})$. We also introduce the n-tuple $(\Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda_n)$ associated with $\mathbf{D}_p^m(\mathcal{H})$, which turns out to be the universal model associated with the noncommutative domain $\mathbf{D}_{\widetilde{p}}^m(\mathcal{H})$, where $\widetilde{p} = \widetilde{p}(Z_1, \ldots, Z_n) = \sum a_{\tilde{\alpha}} Z_\alpha$ and $\widetilde{\alpha}$ denotes the reverse of $\alpha = g_{i_1} \cdots g_{i_k}$, i.e., $\widetilde{\alpha} := g_{i_k} \cdots g_{i_1}$.

In Section 2, we introduce a *noncommutative Berezin transform* $\mathbf{B}_T$ associated with each n-tuple of operators $T := (T_1, \ldots, T_n) \in \mathbf{D}_p^m(\mathcal{H})$ with the joint spectral radius $r_p(T_1, \ldots, T_n) < 1$. More precisely, the map $\mathbf{B}_T : B(F^2(H_n)) \to B(\mathcal{H})$ is defined by

$$\langle \mathbf{B}_T[g]x, y\rangle := \left\langle \left(I - \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} \overline{a}_{\tilde{\alpha}} \Lambda_\alpha^* \otimes T_{\tilde{\alpha}}\right)^{-m} (g \otimes \Delta_{T,m,p}^2) \left(I - \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} a_{\tilde{\alpha}} \Lambda_\alpha \otimes T_{\tilde{\alpha}}^*\right)^{-m} (1 \otimes x), 1 \otimes y \right\rangle$$

where $\Delta_{T,m,p} := [(1-p)^m(T, T^*)]^{1/2}$ and $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$. We remark that in the particular case when: $m = 1$, $n = 1$, $p = Z$, $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}$, and $T = \lambda \in \mathbb{D}$, we recover the Berezin transform [12] of a bounded linear operator on the Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{D})$, i.e.,

$$\mathbf{B}_\lambda[g] = (1 - |\lambda|^2) \langle g k_\lambda, k_\lambda \rangle, \quad g \in B(H^2(\mathbb{D})),$$

where $k_\lambda(z) := (1 - \overline{\lambda} z)^{-1}$ and $z, \lambda \in \mathbb{D}$. The noncommutative Berezin transform which will play an important role in this paper.

First, we show that the Berezin transform has an extension $\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_T : B(F^2(H_n)) \to B(\mathcal{H})$ to any n-tuple $T \in \mathbf{D}_p^m(\mathcal{H})$. This is used to prove that the restriction of $\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_T$ to the operator system $\mathcal{S} := \overline{\text{span}}\{W_\alpha W_\beta^*;\ \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+\}$ is a unital completely contractive linear map such that

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_T[W_\alpha W_\beta^*] = T_\alpha T_\beta^*, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+,$$

when $T := (T_1, \dots, T_n) \in \mathbf{D}_p^m(\mathcal{H})$ is a *pure* n-tuple of operators (i.e. $p^k(T, T^*) \to 0$ strongly as $k \to \infty$). We obtain a similar result for n-tuple of operators with the radial property, i.e., $(rT_1, \dots, rT_n) \in \mathbf{D}_p^m(\mathcal{H})$ for any $r \in (\delta, 1]$ and some $\delta \in (0, 1)$. In this case, we show that

$$\Psi(g) := \lim_{r\to 1} \mathbf{B}_{rT}[g], \quad g \in \mathcal{S},$$

exists in the norm operator topology and defines a unital completely contractive map $\Psi : \mathcal{S} \to B(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\Psi(W_\alpha W_\beta^*) = T_\alpha T_\beta^*, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$.

In Section 3, we introduce the Hardy algebra $F_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_p^m)$ (resp. $R_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_p^m)$) associated with the noncommutative domain $\mathbf{D}_p^m$ and prove some basic properties. We mention that an n-tuple of operators $T := (T_1, \dots, T_n) \in \mathbf{D}_p^m(\mathcal{H})$ is called *completely non-coisometric* (c.n.c.) if there is no vector $h \in \mathcal{H}$, $h \neq 0$, such that $\langle p^k(T, T^*)h, h\rangle = \|h\|^2$ for any $k = 1, 2, \dots$. The main result of Section 3 is an $F_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_p^m)$–functional calculus for (c.n.c.) n-tuples of operators in the noncommutative domain $\mathbf{D}_p^m(\mathcal{H})$. More precisely, we show that if $T := (T_1, \dots, T_n)$ is a c.n.c. n-tuple of operators in a noncommutative domain $\mathbf{D}_p^m(\mathcal{H})$ with the radial property, then

$$\Phi(g) := \text{SOT-}\lim_{r\to 1} g(rT_1, \dots, rT_n), \qquad g = g(W_1, \dots, W_n) \in F_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_p^m),$$

exists in the strong operator topology and defines a map $\Phi : F_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_p^m) \to B(\mathcal{H})$ with the following properties:

(i) $\Phi(g) = \text{SOT-}\lim_{r\to 1} \mathbf{B}_{rT}[g]$, where $\mathbf{B}_{rT}$ is the Berezin transform at $rT \in \mathbf{D}_p^m(\mathcal{H})$;
(ii) Φ is WOT-continuous (resp. SOT-continuous) on bounded sets;
(iii) Φ is a unital completely contractive homomorphism.

In Section 4, we find all the eigenvectors for $W_1^*, \dots, W_n^*$, where $(W_1, \dots, W_n)$ is the universal model associated with the noncommutative domain $\mathbf{D}_p^m$. As consequences, we identify the w^*-continuous multiplicative linear functional on the Hardy algebra $F_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_p^m)$ and find the joint right spectrum of $(W_1, \dots, W_n)$. We introduce the symmetric weighted Fock space $F_s^2(\mathbf{D}_p^m)$ and identify it with $H^2(\mathbf{D}_{f,\circ}^1(\mathbb{C}))$, the reproducing kernel Hilbert space with reproducing kernel $K_p : \mathbf{D}_{p,\circ}^1(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathbf{D}_{p,\circ}^1(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$K_p(\mu, \lambda) := \frac{1}{\left(1 - \sum a_\alpha \mu_\alpha \overline{\lambda}_\alpha\right)^m} \quad \text{for all } \lambda, \mu \in \mathbf{D}_{p,\circ}^1(\mathbb{C}),$$

where

$$\mathbf{D}_{p,\circ}^1(\mathbb{C}) := \left\{\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : \sum a_\alpha |\lambda_\alpha|^2 < 1\right\} \subset \mathbf{D}_p^m(\mathbb{C}),$$

$\lambda_\alpha := \lambda_{i_1} \cdots \lambda_{i_m}$ if $\alpha = g_{i_1} \cdots g_{i_m} \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$, and λ_{g_0}=1.

We show that the algebra $H^\infty(\mathbf{D}_{p,\circ}^1(\mathbb{C}))$ of all multipliers of the Hilbert space $H^2(\mathbf{D}_{p,\circ}^1(\mathbb{C}))$ is reflexive and coincides with the weakly closed algebra generated by the identity and the multipliers $M_{\lambda_1}, \dots M_{\lambda_n}$ by the coordinate functions. Moreover, the multipliers $M_{\lambda_1}, \dots M_{\lambda_n}$ can be identified with the operators $L_1, \dots, L_n$, where

$$L_i := P_{F_s^2(\mathbf{D}_p^m)} W_i|_{F_s^2(\mathbf{D}_p^m)}, \qquad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

and $(W_1, \dots, W_n)$ is the universal model associated with the noncommutative domain $\mathbf{D}_p^m$. Section 4 will play an important role in connecting the results of the present paper to analytic function theory on Reinhardt domains in $\mathbb{C}^n$, as well as, to model theory for commuting n-tuples of operators.

In Section 5, we consider noncommutative varieties $\mathcal{V}_{p,\mathcal{Q}}^m(\mathcal{H}) \subset \mathbf{D}_p^m(\mathcal{H})$ determined by sets $\mathcal{Q}$ of noncommutative polynomials. We associate with each such a variety a *universal model* $(B_1, \dots, B_n) \in \mathcal{V}_{p,\mathcal{Q}}^m(\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q})$, which is the compression of $(W_1, \dots, W_n)$ to an appropriate subspace $\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q}$ of the full Fock space $F^2(H_n)$. We introduce the *constrained noncommutative Berezin transform* $\mathbf{B}_T^c : B(\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q}) \to B(\mathcal{H})$ and use it to obtain analogues of the results of Section 2, for subvarieties. We also show that, if the constants belong to the subspace $\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q}$, then the C^*-algebra $C^*(B_1, \dots, B_n)$ is irreducible and all the compacts operators in $B(\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q})$ are contained in the operator space $\overline{\text{span}}\{B_\alpha B_\beta^* : \ \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+\}$. These results are vital for the development of model theory on noncommutative varieties.

In Section 6, we obtain dilation and model theorems for the elements of the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}^m_{p,\mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{H})$. First, we prove that an n-tuple of operators $T := (T_1, \ldots, T_n) \in B(\mathcal{H})^n$ is a pure element of $\mathcal{V}^m_{p,\mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{H})$ if and only if

$$T_i^* = (B_i^* \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}})|_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n,$$

where $\mathcal{H}$ is an invariant subspace under each operator $B_i^* \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}}$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, $\mathcal{D} := \overline{\Delta_{p,m,T}\mathcal{H}}$, and $\Delta_{p,m,T} := [(1-p)^m(T, T^*)]^{1/2}$.

When $(T_1, \ldots, T_n) \in \mathcal{V}^m_{p,\mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{H})$ is an n-tuple of operators (on a separable Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$) with the radial property and $\mathcal{Q}$ is a set of homogenous noncommutative polynomials, we show that there exists a $*$-representation $\pi : C^*(B_1, \ldots, B_n) \to B(\mathcal{K}_\pi)$ on a separable Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}_\pi$, which annihilates the compact operators and

$$p(\pi(B), \pi(B)^*) = I_{\mathcal{K}_\pi}, \quad \text{where } \pi(B) := (\pi(B_1), \ldots, \pi(B_n)),$$

such that $T_i^* = V_i^*|\mathcal{H}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$, where the operators

$$V_i := \begin{bmatrix} B_i \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}} & 0 \\ 0 & \pi(B_i) \end{bmatrix}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n,$$

are acting on the Hilbert space $\tilde{\mathcal{K}} := (\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{Q}} \otimes \mathcal{D}) \oplus \mathcal{K}_\pi$ and $\mathcal{H}$ is identified with a $*$-cyclic co-invariant subspace of $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}$ under each operator V_i, $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

In the single variable case, when $m \geq 2$, $n = 1$, $p = Z$, and $\mathcal{Q} = 0$, the corresponding variety coincides with the set of all m-hypercontractions studied by Agler in [1], [2], and recently by Olofsson [26], [27]. When $m \geq 2$, $n \geq 2$, $p = Z_1 + \cdots + Z_n$, and $\mathcal{Q} = 0$, the elements of the corresponding domain $\mathbf{D}^m_p(\mathcal{H})$ can be seen as multivariable noncommutative analogues of Agler's m-hypercontractions.

In the particular case when $\mathcal{Q}_c$ coincides with the set of polynomials $Z_iZ_j - Z_jZ_i$, $i, j = 1, \ldots, n$, we can combine the results of Section 4 and Section 6 to recover several results concerning model theory for commuting n-tuples of operators. The case $m \geq 2$, $n \geq 2$, $p = Z_1 + \cdots + Z_n$, and $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q}_c$, was studied by Athavale [9], Müller [24], Müller-Vasilescu [25], Vasilescu [49], and Curto-Vasilescu [14]. Some of these results concerning model theory were extended by S. Pott [48] to positive regular polynomials in commuting indeterminates.

We should mention that most of the results of this paper are presented in a more general setting, namely, when the polynomial p is replaced by a positive regular free holomorphic function (see Section 1 for terminology). In a future paper, we expect to use these results to obtain functional models for the elements of the noncommutative domain $\mathbf{D}^m_p(\mathcal{H})$ (resp. subvariety $\mathcal{V}^m_{p,\mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{H})$), based on characteristic functions.

1. Noncommutative domains and universal models

In this section, we associate with each positive regular free holomorphic function f on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_\rho$, $\rho > 0$, and each $m, n \in \{1, 2, \ldots\}$, a noncommutative domain $\mathbf{D}^m_f(\mathcal{H}) \subset B(\mathcal{H})^n$ and a unique n-tuple $(W_1, \ldots, W_n)$ of weighted shifts. This n-tuple of operators will play the role of the *universal model* for the elements of $\mathbf{D}^m_f(\mathcal{H})$. We also introduce the n-tuple $(\Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda_n)$ associated with $\mathbf{D}^m_f(\mathcal{H})$, which turns out to be the universal model for the elements of the noncommutative domain $\mathbf{D}_{\tilde{f}}$.

Let H_n be an n-dimensional complex Hilbert space with orthonormal basis $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n$, where $n \in \{1, 2, \ldots\}$. We consider the full Fock space of H_n defined by

$$F^2(H_n) := \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} H_n^{\otimes k},$$

where $H_n^{\otimes 0} := \mathbb{C}1$ and $H_n^{\otimes k}$ is the (Hilbert) tensor product of k copies of H_n. Define the left creation operators $S_i : F^2(H_n) \to F^2(H_n)$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, by

$$S_i\varphi := e_i \otimes \varphi, \quad \varphi \in F^2(H_n),$$

and the right creation operators $R_i : F^2(H_n) \to F^2(H_n)$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, by $R_i\varphi := \varphi \otimes e_i$, $\varphi \in F^2(H_n)$.

The algebra F_n^∞ and its norm closed version, the noncommutative disc algebra $\mathcal{A}_n$, were introduced by the author [34] in connection with a multivariable noncommutative von Neumann inequality. F_n^∞ is the algebra of left multipliers of $F^2(H_n)$ and can be identified with the weakly closed (or w^*-closed) algebra generated by the left creation operators $S_1, \ldots, S_n$ acting on $F^2(H_n)$, and the identity. The noncommutative disc algebra $\mathcal{A}_n$ is the norm closed algebra generated by $S_1, \ldots, S_n$, and the identity. For basic properties concerning the noncommutative analytic Toeplitz algebra F_n^∞ we refer to [32], [33], [35], [36], [37], [39], [18], [19], [17], [15], and [4].

Let $\mathbb{F}_n^+$ be the unital free semigroup on n generators $g_1, \ldots, g_n$ and the identity g_0. The length of $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ is defined by $|\alpha| := 0$ if $\alpha = g_0$ and $|\alpha| := k$ if $\alpha = g_{i_1} \cdots g_{i_k}$, where $i_1, \ldots, i_k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. If $X := (X_1, \ldots, X_n) \in B(\mathcal{H})^n$, where $B(\mathcal{H})$ is the algebra of all bounded linear operators on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, we denote $X_\alpha := X_{i_1} \cdots X_{i_k}$ and $X_{g_0} := I_{\mathcal{H}}$.

We say that $f = f(X_1, \ldots, X_n) := \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} a_\alpha X_\alpha,\ \ a_\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, is a free holomorphic function on the noncommutative ball $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_\rho$ for some $\rho > 0$, where

$$[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_\rho := \{(X_1, \ldots, X_n) \in B(\mathcal{H})^n : \ \|X_1X_1^* + \cdots + X_nX_n^*\| < \rho\},$$

if the series $\sum_{k=0}^\infty \sum_{|\alpha|=k} a_\alpha X_\alpha$ is convergent in the operator norm topology for any $(X_1, \ldots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_\rho$. According to [44], f is a free holomorphic function on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_\rho$ if and only if

$$\limsup_{k\to\infty} \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=k} |a_\alpha|^2 \right)^{1/2k} \leq \frac{1}{\rho}.$$

Throughout this paper, we assume that $a_\alpha \geq 0$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$, $\ a_{g_0} = 0$, and $a_{g_i} > 0$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$. A function f satisfying all these conditions on the coefficients is called a *positive regular free holomorphic function on* $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_\rho$ for some $\rho > 0$.

Lemma 1.1. *Let f be a positive regular free holomorphic function on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_\rho$, $\rho > 0$, with the representation $f(X_1, \ldots, X_n) := \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} a_\alpha X_\alpha,\ a_\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Then there exists $r \in (0,1)$ such that $\|f(rS_1, \ldots, rS_n)\| < 1$ and, for any $m = 1, 2, \ldots$,*

$$[1 - f(rS_1, \ldots, rS_n)]^{-m} = \sum_{k=0}^\infty \sum_{|\alpha|=k} b_\alpha^{(m)} r^{|\alpha|} S_\alpha,$$

where $b_{g_0}^{(m)} = 1$ and

$$b_\alpha^{(m)} = \sum_{j=1}^{|\alpha|} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_j = \alpha \\ |\gamma_1| \geq 1, \ldots, |\gamma_j| \geq 1}} a_{\gamma_1} \cdots a_{\gamma_j} \binom{j+m-1}{m-1} \qquad \text{if } \ |\alpha| \geq 1. \tag{1.1}$$

Proof. Due to the Schwartz type lemma for free holomorphic functions on the open unit ball $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ (see [44]), there exists $r > 0$ such that $f(rS_1, \ldots, rS_n)$ is in the noncommutative disc algebra $\mathcal{A}_n$ and $\|f(rS_1, \ldots, rS_n)\| < 1$. Therefore, the operator $I - f(rS_1, \ldots, rS_n)$ is invertible with its inverse $g(rS_1, \ldots, rS_n) := [I - f(rS_1, \ldots, rS_n)]^{-1}$ in $\mathcal{A}_n \subset F_n^\infty$. Assume that $g(rS_1, \ldots, rS_n)$ has the Fourier representation $\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} b_\alpha^{(1)} r^{|\alpha|} S_\alpha$ for some constants $b_\alpha^{(1)} \in \mathbb{C}$. Consequently, using the fact that $r^{|\alpha|} b_\alpha^{(1)} = P_\mathbb{C} S_\alpha^* g(rS_1, \ldots, rS_n)(1)$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} g(rS_1, \ldots, rS_n) &= I + f(rS_1, \ldots, rS_n) + f(rS_1, \ldots, rS_n)^2 + \cdots \\ &= I + \sum_{k=1}^\infty \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{|\alpha|} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_j = \alpha \\ |\gamma_1| \geq 1, \ldots, |\gamma_j| \geq 1}} a_{\gamma_1} \cdots a_{\gamma_j} \right) r^{|\alpha|} S_\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Due to the uniqueness of the Fourier representation of the elements in F_n^∞, we deduce relation (1.1), when $m = 1$. Now, we proceed by induction over m. Assume that relation (1.1) holds for m and let us prove it for $m+1$. Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
&[I-f(rS_1,\dots,rS_n)]^{-(m+1)}\\
&\quad=[I-f(rS_1,\dots,rS_n)]^{-m}[I-f(rS_1,\dots,rS_n)]\\
&\quad=\left\{I+\sum_{|\omega|\geq 1}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{|\omega|}\sum_{\substack{\xi_1\cdots\xi_j=\omega\\ |\xi_1|\geq 1,\dots,|\xi_j|\geq 1}} a_{\xi_1}\cdots a_{\xi_j}\binom{j+m-1}{m-1}\right] r^{|\omega|}S_\omega\right\}\\
&\qquad\times\left\{I+\sum_{|\sigma|\geq 1}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{|\sigma|}\sum_{\substack{\epsilon_1\cdots\epsilon_k=\sigma\\ |\epsilon_1|\geq 1,\dots,|\epsilon_k|\geq 1}} a_{\epsilon_1}\cdots a_{\epsilon_k}\right] r^{|\sigma|}S_\sigma\right\}\\
&\quad=I+\sum_{|\gamma|\geq 1}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{|\gamma|}\sum_{\substack{\epsilon_1\cdots\epsilon_k=\gamma\\ |\epsilon_1|\geq 1,\dots,|\epsilon_k|\geq 1}} a_{\epsilon_1}\cdots a_{\epsilon_k}+\sum_{j=1}^{|\gamma|}\sum_{\substack{\xi_1\cdots\xi_j=\gamma\\ |\xi_1|\geq 1,\dots,|\xi_j|\geq 1}} a_{\xi_1}\cdots a_{\xi_j}\binom{j+m-1}{m-1}\right.\\
&\qquad\qquad\left.+\sum_{\substack{\omega\sigma=\gamma\\ |\omega|\geq 1,|\sigma|\geq 1}}\sum_{j=1}^{|\omega|}\sum_{k=1}^{|\sigma|}\sum_{\substack{\xi_1\cdots\xi_j=\gamma\\ |\xi_1|\geq 1,\dots,|\xi_j|\geq 1}}\sum_{\substack{\epsilon_1\cdots\epsilon_k=\gamma\\ |\epsilon_1|\geq 1,\dots,|\epsilon_k|\geq 1}}\binom{j+m-1}{m-1} a_{\xi_1}\cdots a_{\xi_j}a_{\epsilon_1}\cdots a_{\epsilon_k}\right] r^{|\gamma|}S_\gamma.
\end{aligned}$$

If we look closer to the sums in the brackets, we notice that each product $a_{\eta_1}\cdots a_{\eta_p}$, where $\eta_1\cdots\eta_p=\gamma$ with $\eta_1,\dots\eta_p\in\mathbb{F}_n^+$ and $|\eta_1|\geq 1,\dots,|\eta_p|\geq 1$, occurs $p+1$ times. This is because

$$a_{\eta_1}\cdots a_{\eta_p}=\begin{cases} a_{\epsilon_1}\cdots a_{\epsilon_k} & \text{if } (\eta_1,\dots\eta_p)=(\epsilon_1,\dots,\epsilon_k)\\ a_{\xi_1}\cdots a_{\xi_j}a_{\epsilon_1}\cdots a_{\epsilon_k} & \text{if } (\eta_1,\dots\eta_p)=(\xi_1,\dots,\xi_j,\epsilon_1,\dots,\epsilon_k) \text{ and } j=1,\dots,p-1\\ a_{\xi_1}\cdots a_{\xi_j} & \text{if } (\eta_1,\dots\eta_p)=(\xi_1,\dots,\xi_j).\end{cases}$$

Moreover, at each occurrence, the product $a_{\eta_1}\cdots a_{\eta_p}$ has a coefficient which is equal to

$$\begin{cases}\binom{m-1}{m-1} & \text{if } (\eta_1,\dots\eta_p)=(\epsilon_1,\dots,\epsilon_k)\\ \binom{j+m-1}{m-1} & \text{if } (\eta_1,\dots\eta_p)=(\xi_1,\dots,\xi_j,\epsilon_1,\dots,\epsilon_k) \text{ and } j=1,\dots,p-1\\ \binom{p+m-1}{m-1} & \text{if } (\eta_1,\dots\eta_p)=(\xi_1,\dots,\xi_j).\end{cases}$$

Hence, we deduce that the coefficient of $a_{\eta_1}\cdots a_{\eta_p}$ is equal to

$$\sum_{j=0}^{p}\binom{j+m-1}{m-1}=\binom{p+m}{m}.$$

The latter equality can be easily deduced using the well-known relation

$$\binom{j+m}{m}=\binom{j+m-1}{m}+\binom{j+m-1}{m-1}$$

for any $j=1,\dots,p$. Therefore, we have $[I-f(rS_1,\dots,rS_n)]^{-(m+1)}=\sum_{|\gamma|\geq 1}b_\gamma^{(m+1)}r^{|\gamma|}S_\gamma$, where

$$b_\gamma^{(m+1)}=\sum_{p=1}^{|\gamma|}\sum_{\substack{\eta_1\cdots\eta_p=\gamma\\ |\eta_1|\geq 1,\dots,|\eta_p|\geq 1}} a_{\eta_1}\cdots a_{\eta_p}\binom{p+m}{m}\qquad \text{if } |\gamma|\geq 1.$$

This completes the induction and the proof. $\square$

Lemma 1.2. *Let f be a positive regular free holomorphic function on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_\rho$, $\rho>0$, with the representation $f(X_1,\ldots,X_n):=\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+}a_\alpha X_\alpha$, $a_\alpha\in\mathbb{C}$, and let $g:=1-(1-f)^m$, $m=1,2,\ldots$, have the representation $g(X_1,\ldots,X_n):=\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{F}_n^+}c_\gamma^{(m)}X_\gamma$, $a_\gamma\in\mathbb{C}$. Then the following relations hold:*

$$b_\beta^{(m)}=\sum_{\substack{\gamma\alpha=\beta\\ \alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+,|\gamma|\geq 1}} b_\alpha^{(m)}c_\gamma^{(m)} \qquad \text{if} \quad |\beta|\geq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad m=1,2,\ldots, \tag{1.2}$$

and

$$b_\alpha^{(m)}=b_\alpha^{(m-1)}+\sum_{\substack{\gamma\sigma=\alpha\\ \sigma\in\mathbb{F}_n^+,|\gamma|\geq 1}} b_\sigma^{(m)}a_\gamma \qquad \text{if} \quad m\geq 2 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+. \tag{1.3}$$

Proof. Since

$$\{I-[I-f(rS_1,\ldots,rS_n)]^m\}\,[I-f(rS_1,\ldots,rS_n)]^{-m}=[I-f(rS_1,\ldots,rS_n)]^{-m}-I$$

and using Lemma 1.1, we have

$$\left(\sum_{k=0}^\infty\sum_{|\alpha|=k}b_\alpha^{(m)}r^{|\alpha|}S_\alpha\right)\left(\sum_{p=1}^\infty\sum_{|\gamma|=p}b_\gamma^{(m)}r^{|\gamma|}S_\gamma\right)=\sum_{q=1}^\infty\sum_{|\beta|=q}b_\beta^{(m)}r^{|\beta|}S_\beta.$$

Hence, using the uniqueness of the Fourier representation for the elements in F_n^∞, we obtain relation (1.2). To prove (1.3), assume that $m\geq 2$ and notice that

$$[I-f(rS_1,\ldots,rS_n)]^{-m}-f(rS_1,\ldots,rS_n)[I-f(rS_1,\ldots,rS_n)]^{-m}-I=[I-f(rS_1,\ldots,rS_n)]^{-m+1}-I.$$

Consequently, we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^\infty\sum_{|\alpha|=k}b_\alpha^{(m)}r^{|\alpha|}S_\alpha=\left(\sum_{q=1}^\infty\sum_{|\gamma|=q}b_\gamma^{(m)}r^{|\gamma|}S_\gamma\right)\left(\sum_{p=0}^\infty\sum_{|\sigma|=p}b_\sigma^{(m)}r^{|\sigma|}S_\sigma\right)+\sum_{k=0}^\infty\sum_{|\alpha|=k}b_\alpha^{(m-1)}r^{|\alpha|}S_\alpha.$$

Using again the uniqueness of the Fourier representation for the elements in F_n^∞, we deduce relation (1.3). This completes the proof. ☐

According to Lemma 1.1, we have $b_\alpha^{(m)}>0$ for any $\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+$ and $m=1,2,\ldots$. We define now the diagonal operators $D_i:F^2(H_n)\to F^2(H_n)$, $i=1,\ldots,n$, by setting

$$D_ie_\alpha:=\sqrt{\frac{b_\alpha^{(m)}}{b_{g_i\alpha}^{(m)}}}e_\alpha, \quad \alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+.$$

Due to Lemma 1.2, we have

$$b_{g_i\alpha}^{(m)}\geq\sum_{\substack{\gamma\sigma=g_i\alpha\\ \sigma\in\mathbb{F}_n^+,|\gamma|\geq 1}}b_\sigma^{(m)}a_\gamma\geq a_{g_i}b_\alpha^{(m)}.$$

Since $a_{g_i}>0$ for each $i=1,\ldots,n$, we deduce that

$$\|D_i\|=\sup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+}\sqrt{\frac{b_\alpha^{(m)}}{b_{g_i\alpha}^{(m)}}}\leq\frac{1}{\sqrt{a_{g_i}}}, \quad i=1,\ldots,n.$$

Now we define the *weighted left creation operators* $W_i:F^2(H_n)\to F^2(H_n)$, $i=1,\ldots,n$, associated with the positive regular free holomorphic f by setting $W_i:=S_iD_i$, where $S_1,\ldots,S_n$ are the left creation operators on the full Fock space $F^2(H_n)$. Therefore, we have

$$W_ie_\alpha=\frac{\sqrt{b_\alpha^{(m)}}}{\sqrt{b_{g_i\alpha}^{(m)}}}e_{g_i\alpha}, \quad \alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+, \tag{1.4}$$

where the coefficients $b_\alpha^{(m)}$, $\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+$, are given by relation (1.1).

Throughout this paper, we denote by *id* the identity map acting on the algebra of all bounded linear operators an a Hilbert space.

Theorem 1.3. *Let f be a positive regular free holomorphic function on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_\rho$, $\rho > 0$, and $m = 1, 2, \ldots$. The weighted left creation operators $W_1, \ldots, W_n$ associated with f and m, and defined by relation* (1.4) *have the following properties:*

(i) $\sum_{|\beta|\geq 1} a_\beta W_\beta W_\beta^* \leq I$, *where the convergence is in the strong operator topology;*

(ii) $(id - \Phi_{f,W})^m (I) = P_{\mathbb{C}}$, *where $P_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the orthogonal projection of $F^2(H_n)$ on $\mathbb{C}$, and the map $\Phi_{f,W} : B(F^2(H_n)) \to B(F^2(H_n))$ is defined by*

$$\Phi_{f,W}(X) = \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_\alpha W_\alpha X W_\alpha^*,$$

where the convergence is in the weak operator topology;

(iii) $\lim_{p\to\infty} \Phi_{f,W}^p(I) = 0$ *in the strong operator topology;*

(iv) $\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} b_\beta^{(m)} W_\beta \left[(id - \Phi_{f,W})^m(I)\right] W_\beta^* = I$, *where the coefficients $b_\beta^{(m)}$ are defined by* (1.1), *and the the convergence is in the strong operator topology.*

Proof. Using relation (1.1), a simple calculation reveals that

$$W_\beta e_\gamma = \frac{\sqrt{b_\gamma^{(m)}}}{\sqrt{b_{\beta\gamma}^{(m)}}} e_{\beta\gamma} \quad \text{and} \quad W_\beta^* e_\alpha = \begin{cases} \frac{\sqrt{b_\gamma^{(m)}}}{\sqrt{b_\alpha^{(m)}}} e_\gamma & \text{if } \alpha = \beta\gamma \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{1.5}$$

for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$. Due to (1.5), we deduce that

$$W_\beta W_\beta^* e_\alpha = \begin{cases} \frac{b_\gamma^{(m)}}{b_\alpha^{(m)}} e_\alpha & \text{if } \alpha = \beta\gamma \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{1.6}$$

Since the case $m = 1$ was considered in [47], we assume that $m \geq 2$. Notice that

$$\left(I - \sum_{1\leq|\beta|\leq N} a_\beta W_\beta W_\beta^*\right) e_\alpha = \frac{1}{b_\alpha^{(m)}} K_{N,\alpha} e_\alpha,$$

where $K_{N,\alpha} = b_\alpha^{(m)}$ if $\alpha = g_0$, and

$$K_{N,\alpha} = b_\alpha^{(m)} - \sum_{\beta\gamma=\alpha, 1\leq|\beta|\leq N} a_\beta b_\gamma^{(m)} \qquad \text{if} \quad |\alpha| \geq 1.$$

Due to relation (1.3), if $1 \leq |\alpha| \leq N$, we have

$$K_{N,\alpha} = b_\alpha^{(m-1)} \leq b_\alpha^{(m)}.$$

On the other hand, since $a_\beta \geq 0$, $b_\gamma^{(m)} \geq 0$ for any $\alpha, \gamma \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$, we have $K_{N,\alpha} \leq b_\alpha^{(m)}$ if $|\alpha| \geq 1$. Hence, we deduce that $0 \leq K_{N,\alpha} \leq b_\alpha^{(m)}$, whenever $|\alpha| > N$. On the other hand, notice that if $1 \leq N_1 \leq N_2 \leq |\alpha|$, then $K_{N_2,\alpha} \leq K_{N_1,\alpha}$. Consequently, $\left\{I - \sum_{1\leq|\beta|\leq N} a_\beta W_\beta W_\beta^*\right\}_{N=1}^\infty$ is a decreasing sequence of positive diagonal operators which converges in the strong operator topology. Hence, we deduce that $\sum_{|\beta|\geq 1} a_\beta W_\beta W_\beta^* \leq I$, where the convergence is in the strong operator topology.

We prove now part (ii). By (1.6), the subspaces $\mathbb{C}e_\alpha$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$, are invariant under $W_\beta W_\beta^*$, $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$, and, therefore, they are also invariant under $(id - \Phi_{f,W})^m(I)$. Consequently, it is enough to show that $(id - \Phi_{f,W})^m(I)1 = 1$ and

$$\langle (id - \Phi_{f,W})^m(I) e_\alpha, e_\alpha \rangle = 0$$

for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ with $|\alpha| \geq 1$. The first equality is obvious due to (1.6). Using Lemma 1.2, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle (id - \Phi_{f,W})^m(I)e_\alpha, e_\alpha\rangle &= \left\langle e_\alpha - \sum_{|\beta|\geq 1} c_\beta^{(m)} W_\beta W_\beta^* e_\alpha, e_\alpha \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{b_\alpha^{(m)}}\left(b_\alpha^{(m)} - \sum_{\beta\gamma=\alpha, |\beta|\geq 1} c_\beta^{(m)} b_\gamma^{(m)}\right) = 0\end{aligned}$$

if $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ with $|\alpha| \geq 1$. Therefore, $(id - \Phi_{f,W})^m(I) = P_\mathbb{C}$.

To prove part (iii), notice that relation (1.6) implies $\Phi_{f,W}^p(I)e_\alpha = 0$ if $p > |\alpha|$. This shows that $\lim_{p\to\infty} \Phi_{f,W}^p(I)e_\alpha = 0$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$. By part (i), we have $\|\Phi_{f,W}^p(I)\| \leq 1$ for any $p \in \mathbb{N}$. Now item (iii) follows.

It remains to prove (iv). To this end, notice that

$$P_\mathbb{C} W_\beta^* e_\alpha = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{b_\beta}} & \text{if } \alpha = \beta \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,}\end{cases} \tag{1.7}$$

and, therefore $\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} b_\beta W_\beta P_\mathbb{C} W_\beta^* e_\alpha = e_\alpha$. Using part (ii), we complete the proof. □

We can also define the *weighted right creation operators* $\Lambda_i : F^2(H_n) \to F^2(H_n)$ by setting $\Lambda_i := R_i G_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, where $R_1, \ldots, R_n$ are the right creation operators on the full Fock space $F^2(H_n)$ and each diagonal operator G_i, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, is defined by

$$G_i e_\alpha := \sqrt{\frac{b_\alpha^{(m)}}{b_{\alpha g_i}^{(m)}}} e_\alpha, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+,$$

where the coefficients $b_\alpha^{(m)}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$, are given by relation (1.1). In this case, we have

$$\Lambda_\beta e_\gamma = \frac{\sqrt{b_\gamma^{(m)}}}{\sqrt{b_{\gamma\tilde\beta}^{(m)}}} e_{\gamma\tilde\beta} \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda_\beta^* e_\alpha = \begin{cases} \frac{\sqrt{b_\gamma^{(m)}}}{\sqrt{b_\alpha^{(m)}}} e_\gamma & \text{if } \alpha = \gamma\tilde\beta \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}\end{cases} \tag{1.8}$$

for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$, where $\tilde\beta$ denotes the reverse of $\beta = g_{i_1}\cdots g_{i_k}$, i.e., $\tilde\beta = g_{i_k}\cdots g_{i_1}$. Using Lemma 1.2 and (1.8), we deduce that

$$\left(I - \sum_{1\leq|\beta|\leq N} a_{\tilde\beta}\Lambda_\beta\Lambda_\beta^*\right) e_\alpha = \frac{1}{b_\alpha^{(m)}} \tilde K_{N,\alpha} e_\alpha,$$

where $\tilde K_{N,\alpha} = b_\alpha^{(m)}$ if $\alpha = g_0$, and

$$\tilde K_{N,\alpha} = b_\alpha^{(m)} - \sum_{\gamma\tilde\beta=\alpha, \leq|\tilde\beta|\leq N} a_{\tilde\beta} b_\gamma^{(m)} \qquad \text{if} \quad |\alpha| \geq 1.$$

As in the case of weighted left creation operators, one can show that

$$\sum_{|\beta|\geq 1} a_{\tilde\beta}\Lambda_\beta\Lambda_\beta^* \leq I \quad \text{and} \quad \left(id - \Phi_{\tilde f,\Lambda}\right)^m(I) = P_\mathbb{C}, \tag{1.9}$$

where $\tilde f(X_1, \ldots, X_n) := \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_{\tilde\alpha} X_\alpha$, $\tilde\alpha$ denotes the reverse of α, and $\Phi_{\tilde f,\Lambda}(X) := \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_{\tilde\alpha}\Lambda_\alpha X \Lambda_\alpha^*$, $X \in B(F^2(H_n))$, with the convergence is in the weak operator topology. Since

$$P_\mathbb{C}\Lambda_\beta^* e_\alpha = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{b_\alpha^{(m)}}} & \text{if } \alpha = \tilde\beta \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,}\end{cases}$$

we deduce that

$$\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} b_{\tilde\beta}^{(m)}\Lambda_\beta\left[(id-\Phi_{\tilde f,\Lambda})^m(I)\right]\Lambda_\beta^* = I,$$

where the convergence is in the strong operator topology. Therefore, we obtain a result similar to Theorem 1.3 for the n-tuple $(\Lambda_1,\ldots,\Lambda_n)$.

A linear map $\varphi: B(\mathcal{H})\to B(\mathcal{H})$ is called power bounded if there exists a constant $M>0$ such that $\|\varphi^k\|\le M$ for any $k\in\mathbb{N}:=\{1,2,\ldots\}$.

Lemma 1.4. *Let $\varphi: B(\mathcal{H})\to B(\mathcal{H})$ be a power bounded, positive linear map and let $D\in B(\mathcal{H})$ be a positive operator. If $m\in\mathbb{N}$, then*

$$(id-\varphi)^m(D)\ge 0 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad (id-\varphi)^k(D)\ge 0, \quad k=1,2,\ldots,m.$$

Proof. One implication is obvious. Assume that $m\ge 2$ and $(id-\varphi)^m(D)\ge 0$. Due to the identity

$$(id-\varphi)^k(D)=\sum_{p=0}^k(-1)^p\binom{k}{p}\varphi^p(D),\quad k\in\mathbb{N},$$

and the fact that φ is a positive linear map, we deduce that $x_j:=\left\langle\varphi^j(id-\varphi)^{m-1}(D)h,h\right\rangle$ is a real number for any $h\in\mathcal{H}$ and $j=0,1,\ldots$. Note that, we have

$$x_j-x_{j+1}=\left\langle\varphi^j(id-\varphi)^m(D)h,h\right\rangle\ge 0.$$

Therefore, $\{x_j\}_{j=0}^\infty$ is a decreasing sequence of real numbers.

On the other hand, using the fact that φ is a power bounded linear map, there exists a constant $M>0$ such that $\|\varphi^k\|\le M$ for any $k\in\mathbb{N}$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\sum_{j=0}^p x_j\right| &= \left|\sum_{j=0}^p\left\langle(\varphi^j-\varphi^{j+1})(id-\varphi)^{m-2}(D)h,h\right\rangle\right|\\ &=\left|\left\langle(id-\varphi)^{m-2}(D)h,h\right\rangle-\left\langle\varphi^{p+1}(id-\varphi)^{m-2}(D)h,h\right\rangle\right|\\ &\le\left|\left\langle(id-\varphi^{p+1})(id-\varphi)^{m-2}(D)h,h\right\rangle\right|\\ &\le(1+M)\|(id-\varphi)^{m-2}(D)\|\|h\|^2<\infty\end{aligned}$$

for any $p=0,1,\ldots$. Hence, we deduce that $x_j\ge 0$ for any $j=0,1,\ldots$. In particular, we have $x_0:=\left\langle(id-\varphi)^{m-1}(D)h,h\right\rangle\ge 0$ for any $h\in\mathcal{H}$. Therefore, $(id-\varphi)^{m-1}(D)\ge 0$. Iterating this process, one can show that $(id-\varphi)^k(D)\ge 0$ for any $k=1,2,\ldots,m$. The proof is complete. ☐

Corollary 1.5. *If φ is a positive linear map on $B(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\varphi(I)\le I$ and $(id-\varphi)^m(I)\ge 0$ for some $m\in\mathbb{N}$, then*

$$0\le(id-\varphi)^m(I)\le(id-\varphi)^{m-1}(I)\le\cdots\le(id-\varphi)(I)\le I.$$

Given $m,n\in\{1,2,\ldots\}$ and a positive regular free holomorphic function $f:=\sum_{|\alpha|\ge 1}a_\alpha X_\alpha$, we define the noncommutative domain

$$\mathbf{D}_f^m(\mathcal{H}):=\left\{X:=(X_1,\ldots,X_n)\in B(\mathcal{H})^n:\ (id-\Phi_{f,X})^k(I)\ge 0 \text{ for } 1\le k\le m\right\},$$

where $\Phi_{f,X}: B(\mathcal{H})\to B(\mathcal{H})$ is defined by $\Phi_{f,X}(Y):=\sum_{|\alpha|\ge 1}a_\alpha X_\alpha YX_\alpha^*,\ \ Y\in B(\mathcal{H})$, and the convergence is in the week operator topology. For the next result, we need to denote by $(W_1^{(f)},\ldots,W_n^{(f)})$ the weighted left creation operators $(W_1,\ldots,W_n)$ associated with $\mathbf{D}_f^{(m)}$. The notation $(\Lambda_1^{(f)},\ldots,\Lambda_n^{(f)})$ is now clear.

Theorem 1.6. *Let $(W_1^{(f)},\ldots,W_n^{(f)})$ (resp. $(\Lambda_1^{(f)},\ldots,\Lambda_n^{(f)})$) be the weighted left (resp. right) creation operators associated with the noncommutative domain $\mathbf{D}_f^m$. Then the following statements hold:*

(i) $(W_1^{(f)},\ldots,W_n^{(f)})\in\mathbf{D}_f^m(F^2(H_n))$;
(ii) $(\Lambda_1^{(f)},\ldots,\Lambda_n^{(f)})\in\mathbf{D}_{\tilde f}^m(F^2(H_n))$;

(iii) $U^*\Lambda_i^{(f)}U = W_i^{(\tilde{f})}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, *where* $U \in B(F^2(H_n))$ *is the unitary operator defined by equation* $Ue_\alpha := e_{\tilde{\alpha}}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$*;*

(iv) $W_i^{(f)}\Lambda_j^{(f)} = \Lambda_j^{(f)}W_i^{(f)}$ *for* $i, j = 1, \dots, n$.

Proof. Items (i) and (ii) follow from Theorem 1.3, Lemma 1.4, and relation (1.9). Using relation (1.5) when f is replaced by $\tilde{f}$, we obtain

$$W_i^{(\tilde{f})}e_\gamma = \frac{\sqrt{b_{\tilde{\gamma}}^{(m)}}}{\sqrt{b_{\tilde{\gamma}g_i}^{(m)}}}e_{g_i\gamma}.$$

On the other hand, due to relation (1.8), we deduce that

$$U^*\Lambda_i^{(f)}Ue_\gamma = U^*\left(\frac{\sqrt{b_{\tilde{\gamma}}^{(m)}}}{\sqrt{b_{\tilde{\gamma}g_i}^{(m)}}}e_{\tilde{\gamma}g_i}\right) = \frac{\sqrt{b_{\tilde{\gamma}}^{(m)}}}{\sqrt{b_{\tilde{\gamma}g_i}^{(m)}}}e_{g_i\gamma}.$$

Therefore, $U^*\Lambda_i^{(f)}U = W_i^{(\tilde{f})}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Now, using relation (1.4), (1.8), we obtain

$$\Lambda_j W_i^{(f)}e_\alpha = \frac{\sqrt{b_\alpha^{(m)}}}{\sqrt{b_{g_i\alpha}^{(m)}}}\Lambda_j^{(f)}(e_{g_i\alpha}) = \frac{\sqrt{b_\alpha^{(m)}}}{\sqrt{b_{g_i\alpha g_j}^{(m)}}}e_{g_i\alpha g_j}$$

for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ and $i, j = 1, \dots, n$. Similar calculations reveal that $\Lambda_j^{(f)}W_i^{(f)}e_\alpha = W_i^{(f)}\Lambda_j^{(f)}e_\alpha$, which proves (iv). The proof is complete. □

2. Noncommutative Berezin transforms

In this section, we introduce a *noncommutative Berezin transform* associated with each n-tuple of operators $T := (T_1, \dots, T_n)$ in the noncommutative domain $\mathbf{D}_f^m(\mathcal{H})$, and present some of its basic properties.

Let f be a positive regular free holomorphic function on a noncommutative ball $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_\rho$, $\rho > 0$, with representation $f(X_1, \dots, X_n) := \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_\alpha X_\alpha$. Let $T := (T_1, \dots, T_n) \in B(\mathcal{H})^n$ be an n-tuple of operators such that the series $\sum\limits_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_\alpha T_\alpha T_\alpha^*$ is WOT convergent, and consider the bounded linear map $\Phi_{f,T} : B(\mathcal{H}) \to B(\mathcal{H})$, given by

$$\Phi_{f,T}(X) := \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_\alpha T_\alpha X T_\alpha^*, \quad X \in B(\mathcal{K}), \tag{2.1}$$

where the convergence is in the week operator topology. The joint spectral radius of $T \in \mathbf{D}_f^m(\mathcal{H})$ is defined by

$$r_f(T_1, \dots, T_n) := \lim_{k\to\infty} \|\Phi_{f,T}^k(I)\|^{1/2k}.$$

We recall that the model n-tuple $(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n)$ associated with $\mathbf{D}_f^m$ was defined in Section 1. According to the results of that section, the series $\sum\limits_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_{\tilde{\alpha}}\Lambda_\alpha\Lambda_\alpha^*$ is SOT convergent and, therefore, so is the series $\sum\limits_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_{\tilde{\alpha}}\Lambda_\alpha \otimes T_{\tilde{\alpha}}^*$. Notice also that

$$\left\|\sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_{\tilde{\alpha}}\Lambda_\alpha \otimes T_{\tilde{\alpha}}^*\right\| \leq \left\|\sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_{\tilde{\alpha}}\Lambda_\alpha\Lambda_\alpha^*\right\| \left\|\sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_{\tilde{\alpha}}T_{\tilde{\alpha}}T_{\tilde{\alpha}}^*\right\|.$$

and

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_{\tilde{\alpha}} \Lambda_\alpha \otimes T_{\tilde{\alpha}}^* \right)^k \right\| \leq \left\| \Phi_{\tilde{f},\Lambda}^k(I) \right\|^{1/2} \left\| \Phi_{f,T}^k(I) \right\|^{1/2}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{2.2}$$

where $\tilde{f}(X_1, \ldots, X_n) := \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_{\tilde{\alpha}} X_\alpha$ and $\Phi_{\tilde{f},\Lambda}(Y) := \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_{\tilde{\alpha}} \Lambda_\alpha Y \Lambda_\alpha^*$. Hence, we deduce that

$$r\left(\sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_{\tilde{\alpha}} \Lambda_\alpha \otimes T_{\tilde{\alpha}}^* \right) \leq r_{\tilde{f}}(\Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda_n) r_f(T_1, \ldots, T_n),$$

where $r(A)$ denotes the usual spectral radius of an operator A. Due to the results of Section 1, we have $\left\| \Phi_{\tilde{f},\Lambda}(I) \right\| \leq 1$, which implies $r_{\tilde{f}}(\Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda_n) \leq 1$. Consequently, we have

$$r\left(\sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_{\tilde{\alpha}} \Lambda_\alpha \otimes T_{\tilde{\alpha}}^* \right) \leq r_f(T_1, \ldots, T_n).$$

Consequently, if $r_f(T_1, \ldots, T_n) < 1$, then the operator

$$\left(I - \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_{\tilde{\alpha}} \Lambda_\alpha \otimes T_{\tilde{\alpha}}^* \right)^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_{\tilde{\alpha}} \Lambda_\alpha \otimes T_{\tilde{\alpha}}^* \right)^k \tag{2.3}$$

is well-defined, where the convergence is in the operator norm topology.

For each $T := (T_1, \ldots, T_n) \in \mathbf{D}_f^m(\mathcal{H})$ with $r_f(T_1, \ldots, T_n) < 1$, we introduce the *noncommutative Berezin transform* at T as the map $\mathbf{B}_T : B(F^2(H_n)) \to B(\mathcal{H})$ defined by

(2.4)

$$\langle \mathbf{B}_T[g]x, y \rangle := \left\langle \left(I - \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} \overline{a}_{\tilde{\alpha}} \Lambda_\alpha^* \otimes T_{\tilde{\alpha}} \right)^{-m} (g \otimes \Delta_{T,m,f}^2) \left(I - \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_{\tilde{\alpha}} \Lambda_\alpha \otimes T_{\tilde{\alpha}}^* \right)^{-m} (1 \otimes x), 1 \otimes y \right\rangle$$

where $\Delta_{T,m,f} := [(id - \Phi_{f,T})^m(I)]^{1/2}$ and $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$. We remark that in the particular case when: $n = 1$, $m = 1$, $f(X) = X$, $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}$, and $T = \lambda \in \mathbb{D}$, we recover the Berezin transform of a bounded linear operator on the Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{D})$, i.e.,

$$\mathbf{B}_\lambda[g] = (1 - |\lambda|^2) \langle g k_\lambda, k_\lambda \rangle, \quad g \in B(H^2(\mathbb{D})),$$

where $k_\lambda(z) := (1 - \overline{\lambda} z)^{-1}$ and $z, \lambda \in \mathbb{D}$.

The noncommutative Berezin transform will play an important role in this paper. We will present some of its basic properties in this section. First, we need a few preliminary results about positive linear maps on $B(\mathcal{H})$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\varphi : B(\mathcal{H}) \to B(\mathcal{H})$ be a linear map and $k, q \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$\sum_{p=0}^{q} \binom{p+k-1}{k-1} \varphi^p (id - \varphi)^k = id - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \binom{q+j}{j} \varphi^{q+1} (id - \varphi)^j. \tag{2.5}$$

Proof. Since $\sum_{p=0}^{q} \varphi^p (id - \varphi) = id - \varphi^{q+1}$, equation (2.5) holds for $k = 1$. We proceed now by induction over k. Assume that (2.5) holds for $k = m$. Since $\varphi(id - \varphi) = (id - \varphi)\varphi$ and

$$\binom{p+m}{m} - \binom{p+m-1}{m} = \binom{p+m-1}{m-1},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{p=0}^{q}\binom{p+m}{m}\varphi^p(id-\varphi)^{m+1}\\
&\quad=\sum_{p=0}^{q}\binom{p+m}{m}\varphi^p(id-\varphi)^{m}-\sum_{p=0}^{q}\binom{p+m}{m}\varphi^{p+1}(id-\varphi)^{m}\\
&\quad=(id-\varphi)^m+\sum_{p=1}^{q}\left[\binom{p+m}{m}-\binom{p+m-1}{m}\right]\varphi^p(id-\varphi)^m-\binom{q+m}{m}\varphi^{q+1}(id-\varphi)^m\\
&\quad=\sum_{p=0}^{q}\binom{p+m-1}{m-1}\varphi^p(id-\varphi)^m-\binom{q+m}{m}\varphi^{q+1}(id-\varphi)^m.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the induction hypothesis, we complete the proof. □

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\varphi : B(\mathcal{H}) \to B(\mathcal{H})$ be a power bounded, positive linear map and let $D \in B(\mathcal{H})$ be a positive operator such that $(id-\varphi)^m(D) \geq 0$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the following limit exists for any $h \in \mathcal{H}$ and $k = 0, 1, \ldots, m-1$, and*

$$\lim_{p\to\infty} p^k\left\langle \varphi^p(id-\varphi)^k(D)h,h\right\rangle=\begin{cases}\lim\limits_{p\to\infty}\langle\varphi^p(D)h,h\rangle & \text{if } \ k=0\\ 0 & \text{if } \ k=1,2,\ldots,m-1.\end{cases}$$

Proof. For each $h \in \mathcal{H}$, $p = 0, 1, \ldots$, and $r = 0, 1, \ldots, m$, denote $x_p^{(r)} := \langle \varphi^p(id-\varphi)^r(D)h, h\rangle$ and notice that, due to Lemma 1.4, $x_p^{(r)} \geq 0$. When $k = 0, 1, \ldots, m-1$, using the same lemma, we obtain

$$x_p^{(k)}-x_{p+1}^{(k)}=\left\langle\varphi^p(id-\varphi)^{k+1}(D)h,h\right\rangle\geq 0.$$

Therefore, $\{x_p^{(k)}\}_{p=0}^\infty$ is a decreasing sequence of positive numbers. In particular, when $k = 0$, we deduce that $\lim\limits_{p\to\infty}\langle\varphi^p(D)h,h\rangle$ exists.

It remains to prove that

$$\lim_{p\to\infty}p^k\left\langle\varphi^p(id-\varphi)^k(D)h,h\right\rangle=0 \tag{2.6}$$

for any $k = 1, \ldots, m-1$. As an intermediate step, we will also prove that

$$\sum_{p=1}^{\infty}p^{r-1}x_p^{(r)}<\infty \tag{2.7}$$

for $r = 1, \ldots, m$. Notice that this relation holds true if $r = 1$, due to the fact that the series

$$\sum_{p=1}^{\infty}\langle\varphi^p(id-\varphi)(D)h,h\rangle=\langle Dh,h\rangle-\lim_{p\to\infty}\langle\varphi^p(D)h,h\rangle$$

is convergent. We proceed now by induction over r. Assume that $1 \leq N \leq m-1$ and that relation (2.7) holds for $r = N$, i.e, $\sum_{p=1}^\infty p^{N-1}x_p^{(N)} < \infty$. We shall prove first that relation (2.6) holds for $k = N$. Due to the Cauchy criterion, we have

$$y_q := q^{N-1}x_q^{(N)}+(q+1)^{N-1}x_{q+1}^{(N)}+\cdots+(2q-1)^{N-1}x_{2q-1}^{(N)}\to 0, \quad \text{as } \ q\to\infty.$$

Since $\{x_q^{(N)}\}_{q=1}^\infty$ is a decreasing sequence of positive numbers, we have $q^N x_{2q-1}^{(N)} \leq y_q$. Now, it is clear that $(2q-1)^N x_{2q-1}^{(N)} \to 0$ as $q \to \infty$. On the other hand, since $(2q)^N x_{2q}^{(N)} \leq (2q)^N x_{2q-1}^{(N)}$, we have $(2q)^N x_{2q}^{(N)} \to 0$ as $q \to \infty$. Consequently, relation (2.6) holds for $k = N$.

Now, we prove that if (2.6) holds for $k = N$ (where $1 \leq N \leq m-1$) and relation (2.7) holds for $r = N$, then (2.7) holds also for $r = N + 1$. Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{p=1}^{q} p^N x_p^{(N+1)} &= \sum_{p=1}^{q} p^N \left\langle \varphi^p (id - \varphi)^{N+1}(D)h, h \right\rangle \\
&= \sum_{r=1}^{q} r^N x_r^{(N)} - \sum_{p=1}^{q} p^N x_{p+1}^{(N)} \\
&= x_1^{(N)} + \sum_{p=1}^{q} \left[(p+1)^N - p^N \right] x_{p+1}^{(N)} - (q+1)^N x_{q+1}^{(N)} \\
&\leq x_1^{(N)} + N \sum_{p=1}^{q} (p+1)^{N-1} x_{p+1}^{(N)} - (q+1)^N x_{q+1}^{(N)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using our assumptions, we conclude that (2.7) holds for $r = N + 1$. This completes the proof. □

Let f be a positive regular free holomorphic function on a noncommutative ball $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_\rho$, $\rho > 0$. In what follows we introduce the noncommutative Berezin kernel associated with any n-tuple of operators $T := (T_1, \ldots, T_n)$ in the noncommutative domain $\mathbf{D}_f^m(\mathcal{H})$, and present some of its basic properties.

Lemma 2.3. *Let* $T := (T_1, \ldots, T_n) \in \mathbf{D}_f^m(\mathcal{H})$ *and let* $K_{f,T}^{(m)} : \mathcal{H} \to F^2(H_n) \otimes \overline{\Delta_{f,m,T}(\mathcal{H})}$ *be the map defined by*

$$K_{f,T}^{(m)} h := \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} \sqrt{b_\alpha^{(m)}}\, e_\alpha \otimes \Delta_{f,m,T} T_\alpha^* h, \quad h \in \mathcal{H}, \tag{2.8}$$

where $\Delta_{f,m,T} := \left[(I - \Phi_{f,T})^m (I)\right]^{1/2}$, *the positive map* $\Phi_{f,T}$ *is defined by* (2.1) *and the coefficients* $b_\alpha^{(m)}$ *are given by* (1.1). *Then*

(i) $K_{f,T}^{(m)*} K_{f,T}^{(m)} = I_{\mathcal{H}} - Q_{f,T}$, *where* $Q_{f,T} := \text{SOT-}\lim_{k\to\infty} \Phi_{f,T}^k(I)$;

(ii) $K_{f,T}^{(m)} T_i^* = (W_i^* \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}) K_{f,T}^{(m)}$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, *where* $(W_1, \ldots, W_n)$ *is the* n*-tuple of weighted left creation operators associated with the noncommutative domain* $\mathbf{D}_f^m$.

Proof. Since $\Phi_{f,T}(I) \leq I$ and $\Phi_{f,T}(\cdot)$ is a positive linear map, it is easy to see that $\{\Phi_{f,T}^p(I)\}_{p=1}^\infty$ is a decreasing sequence of positive operators and, consequently, $Q_{f,T} := \text{SOT-}\lim\limits_{p\to\infty} \Phi_{f,T}^p(I)$ exists. Due to relation (1.1) and using Lemma 2.1, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\langle \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} b_\beta^{(m)} T_\beta \Delta_{f,m,T}^2 T_\beta^* h, h \right\rangle = \left\langle \Delta_{f,m,T}^2 h, h \right\rangle + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|\beta|=m} \left\langle b_\beta^{(m)} T_\beta \Delta_{f,m,T}^2 T_\beta^* h, h \right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle \Delta_{f,m,T}^2 h, h \right\rangle + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|\beta|=m} \left\langle \left(\sum_{j=1}^{|\beta|} \binom{j+m-1}{m-1} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_j = \beta \\ |\gamma_1| \geq 1, \ldots, |\gamma_j| \geq 1}} a_{\gamma_1} \cdots a_{\gamma_j} \right) T_{\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_j} \Delta_{f,m,T}^2 T_{\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_j}^* h, h \right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle \Delta_{f,m,T}^2 h, h \right\rangle + \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \binom{p+m-1}{m-1} \sum_{|\alpha_1| \geq 1, \ldots, |\alpha_p| \geq 1} a_{\alpha_1} \cdots a_{\alpha_p} T_{\alpha_1} \cdots T_{\alpha_p} \Delta_{f,m,T}^2 T_{\alpha_p}^* \cdots T_{\alpha_1}^* \\
&= \lim_{k\to\infty} \sum_{p=0}^{k} \binom{p+m-1}{m-1} \left\langle \left\{ \Phi_{f,T}^p [(I - \Phi_{f,T})^m](I) \right\} h, h \right\rangle \\
&= \|h\|^2 - \lim_{k\to\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \binom{k+j}{j} \left\langle \Phi_{f,T}^{k+1} [(I - \Phi_{f,T})^j](I) h, h \right\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

for any $h \in \mathcal{H}$. Now, applying Lemma 2.2 to $\Phi_{f,T}$, we deduce that

$$\sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} b_\beta^{(m)} T_\beta \Delta_{f,m,T}^2 T_\beta^* = I_{\mathcal{H}} - Q_{f,T}. \tag{2.9}$$

Due to the above calculations, we have

$$\|K_{f,T}^{(m)} h\|^2 = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} b_\beta^{(m)} \left\langle T_\beta \Delta_{f,m,T}^2 T_\beta^* h, h \right\rangle = \|h\|^2 - \|Q_{f,T}^{1/2} h\|^2$$

for any $h \in \mathcal{H}$. Therefore, $K_{f,T}^{(m)}$ is a contraction and

$$K_{f,T}^{(m)^*} K_{f,T}^{(m)} = I_{\mathcal{H}} - Q_{f,T}. \tag{2.10}$$

On the other hand, one can show that

$$K_{f,T}^{(m)} T_i^* = (W_i^* \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}) K_{f,T}^{(m)}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n, \tag{2.11}$$

where $(W_1, \ldots, W_n)$ is the n-tuple of weighted left creation operators associated with the noncommutative domain $\mathbf{D}_f^m$. Indeed, notice that, due to relation (1.5), we have

$$W_i^* e_\alpha = \begin{cases} \frac{\sqrt{b_\gamma^{(m)}}}{\sqrt{b_\alpha^{(m)}}} e_\gamma & \text{if } \alpha = g_i \gamma \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Hence, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} (W_i^* \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}) K_{f,T}^{(m)} h &= \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} \sqrt{b_\alpha^{(m)}} W_i^* e_\alpha \otimes \Delta_{f,m,T} T_\alpha^* h \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} \sqrt{b_{g_i\gamma}^{(m)}} \frac{\sqrt{b_\gamma^{(m)}}}{\sqrt{b_{g_i\gamma}^{(m)}}} e_\gamma \otimes \Delta_{f,m,T} T_{g_i\gamma}^* h \\ &= K_{f,T}^{(m)} T_i^* h \end{aligned}$$

for any $h \in \mathcal{H}$ and $i = 1, \ldots, n$, which proves our assertion. □

We can define now the *extended noncommutative Berezin transform* $\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_T$ at any $T \in \mathbf{D}_f^m(\mathcal{H})$ by setting

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_T[g] := K_{f,T}^{(m)^*} (g \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}) K_{f,T}^{(m)}, \quad g \in B(F^2(H_n)), \tag{2.12}$$

where the *noncommutative Berezin kernel* $K_{f,T}^{(m)} : \mathcal{H} \to F^2(H_n) \otimes \mathcal{H}$ is defined by

$$K_{f,T}^{(m)} h = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} \sqrt{b_\alpha^{(m)}} e_\alpha \otimes \Delta_{f,m,T} T_\alpha^* h, \quad h \in \mathcal{H}, \tag{2.13}$$

the defect operator $\Delta_{T,m,f} := [(id - \Phi_{f,T})^m(I)]^{1/2}$, and the coefficients $b_\alpha^{(m)}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$, are given by relation (1.1).

Proposition 2.4. *The nocommutative Berezin transforms $\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_T$ and $\mathbf{B}_T$ coincide for any n-tuple of operators $T := (T_1, \ldots, T_n) \in \mathbf{D}_f^m(\mathcal{H})$ with joint spectral radius $r_f(T_1, \ldots, T_n) < 1$.*

Proof. Due to Lemma 1.1 and relation (2.3), the operator $\left(I-\sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_{\tilde\alpha}\Lambda_\alpha\otimes T_{\tilde\alpha}^*\right)^{-m}$ has the Fourier representation is $\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+}(\Lambda_\beta\otimes b_{\tilde\beta}T_{\tilde\beta}^*)$. Consequently, using relations (1.8) and (2.13), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}K_{f,T}^{(m)}h&=\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+}\sqrt{b_\alpha^{(m)}}e_\alpha\otimes\Delta_{f,m,T}T_\alpha^*h\\&=1\otimes\Delta_{f,m,T}h+\sum_{|\beta|\geq 1}b_{\tilde\beta}^{(m)}\Lambda_\beta(1)\otimes\Delta_{f,m,T}T_{\tilde\beta}^*h\\&=(I_{F^2(H_n)}\otimes\Delta_{T,m,f})\left(I-\sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1}a_{\tilde\alpha}\Lambda_\alpha\otimes T_{\tilde\alpha}^*\right)^{-m}(1\otimes h)\end{aligned}$$

for any $h\in\mathcal{H}$. Taking into account relations (2.4) and (2.12), we complete the proof. □

Let us recall some definitions concerning completely bounded maps on operator spaces. We identify $M_k(B(\mathcal{H}))$, the set of $k\times k$ matrices with entries in $B(\mathcal{H})$, with $B(\mathcal{H}^{(k)})$, where $\mathcal{H}^{(k)}$ is the direct sum of k copies of $\mathcal{H}$. If $\mathcal{X}$ is an operator space, i.e., a closed subspace of $B(\mathcal{H})$, we consider $M_k(\mathcal{X})$ as a subspace of $M_k(B(\mathcal{H}))$ with the induced norm. Let $\mathcal{X},\mathcal{Y}$ be operator spaces and $u:\mathcal{X}\to\mathcal{Y}$ be a linear map. Define the map $u_k:M_k(\mathcal{X})\to M_k(\mathcal{Y})$ by

$$u_k([x_{ij}]_k):=[u(x_{ij})]_k.$$

We say that u is completely bounded if $\|u\|_{cb}:=\sup_{k\geq 1}\|u_k\|<\infty$. When $\|u\|_{cb}\leq 1$ (resp. u_k is an isometry for any $k\geq 1$) then u is completely contractive (resp. isometric). We call u completely positive if u_k is positive for all $k\geq 1$. For more information on completely bounded maps and the classical von Neumann inequality [53], we refer to [28] and [29].

Let $f(X_1,\ldots,X_n):=\sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1}a_\alpha X_\alpha$ be a positive regular free holomorphic function on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_\rho$, $\rho>0$, and let $T:=(T_1,\ldots,T_n)$ be an n-tuple of operators in the noncommutative domain $\mathbf{D}_f^m(\mathcal{H})$. Recall that the positive linear map $\Phi_{f,T}:B(\mathcal{H})\to B(\mathcal{H})$ is defined by $\Phi_{f,T}(X)=\sum\limits_{|\alpha|\geq 1}a_\alpha T_\alpha XT_\alpha^*$, where the convergence is in the weak operator topology. In the proof of Lemma 2.3, we saw that $Q_{f,T}:=\text{SOT-}\lim_{k\to\infty}\Phi_{f,T}^k(I)$ exists. We call an n-tuple T *pure* (or of class $C_{\cdot 0}$) if $Q_{f,T}=0$. We remark that if $\|\Phi_{f,T}(I)\|<1$, then T is of class $C_{\cdot 0}$. This is due to the fact that $\|\Phi_{f,T}^k(I)\|\leq\|\Phi_{f,T}(I)\|^k$. Note also that, due to Theorem 1.6, the model n-tuple $W:=(W_1,\ldots,W_n)$ is in the noncommutative domain $\mathbf{D}_f^m(F^2(H_n))$ and, due to Theorem 1.3, it is of class $C_{\cdot 0}$.

We introduce the domain algebra $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathbf{D}_f^m)$ associated with the noncommutative domain $\mathbf{D}_f^m$ to be the norm closure of all polynomials in the weighted left creation operators $W_1,\ldots,W_n$ and the identity. Using the weighted right creation operators associated with $\mathbf{D}_f^m$, one can define the corresponding domain algebra $\mathcal{R}_n(\mathbf{D}_f^m)$.

Theorem 2.5. *Let $T:=(T_1,\ldots,T_n)$ be a pure n-tuple of operators in the noncommutative domain $\mathbf{D}_f^m(\mathcal{H})$. Then the restriction of the noncommutative Berezin transform $\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_T$ to $\overline{\text{span}}\{W_\alpha W_\beta^*;\ \alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+\}$ is a unital completely contractive linear map such that*

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_T[W_\alpha W_\beta^*]=T_\alpha T_\beta^*,\quad \alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+.$$

In particular, the restriction of $\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_T$ to the domain algebra $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathbf{D}_f^m)$ is a completely contractive homomorphism.

Proof. According to Lemma 2.3, $K_{f,T}^{(m)}$ is an isometry if and only if $T:=(T_1,\ldots,T_n)\in\mathbf{D}_f^m(\mathcal{H})$ is a pure n-tuple. Part (ii), of the same lemma, and relation (2.12) imply

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_T[W_\alpha W_\beta^*]=K_{f,T}^{(m)^*}[W_\alpha W_\beta^*\otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}]K_{f,T}^{(m)}=T_\alpha T_\beta^*,\quad \alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+.$$

Now, one can easily deduce that $\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_T$ is a unital completely contractive linear map. This completes the proof. □

We say that an n-tuple of operators $X := (X_1, \dots, X_n) \in \mathbf{D}_f^m(\mathcal{H})$ has the radial property with respect to $\mathbf{D}_f^m(\mathcal{H})$ if there exists $\delta \in (0,1)$ such that $rX := (rX_1, \dots, rX_n) \in \mathbf{D}_f^m(\mathcal{H})$ for any $r \in (\delta, 1)$.

Proposition 2.6. *Any noncommutative domain $\mathbf{D}_f^m(\mathcal{H})$ contains a ball $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_\gamma$, $\gamma > 0$, and, therefore, n-tuples of operators with the radial property.*

Proof. Since $f = \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_\alpha X_\alpha$ is a free holomorphic function on a certain ball $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_\delta$, $\delta > 0$, we have $\limsup_{k\to\infty} \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=k} |a_\alpha|^2\right)^{1/2k} < \infty$. Consequently, there exists a constant $M > 0$ such that $|a_\alpha| \leq M^k$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ with $|\alpha| = k$. Let $r \in (0,1)$ be such that $Mr < 1$ and let $(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_r$. Then we have

$$\|\Phi_{f,X}(I)\| \leq \sum_{k=1}^\infty M^k \left\| \sum_{|\alpha|=k} X_\alpha X_\alpha^* \right\| \leq \sum_{k=1}^\infty M^k r^{2k} = \frac{r^2 M}{1 - r^2 M},$$

which converges to zero as $r \to 0$. Since f^k, $k = 1, \dots, m$, is a free holomorphic function with $f^k(0) = 0$, a similar result holds. Therefore, there exists a ball $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_\gamma$, $\gamma > 0$, such that $\|\Phi_{f,X}(I)\|, \dots, \|\Phi_{f^m,X}(I)\|$ are as small as needed for any $X \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_\gamma$. On the other hand, we have $\Phi_{f,X}^k(I) = \Phi_{f^k,X}(I)$ and

$$(id - \Phi_{f,X})^m(I) = I - \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^{k-1} \binom{m}{k} \Phi_{f^k,X}(I).$$

Now, it is clear that $(I - \Phi_{f,X})^m(I) \geq 0$ for any X in an appropriate ball $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_\gamma$, $\gamma > 0$. The proof is complete. □

We remark that one can easily prove that if p is a positive regular noncommutative polynomial and $T := (T_1, \dots, T_n) \in B(\mathcal{H})^n$ is such that $(id - \Phi_{p,T})^k \geq cI$ for some $c > 0$ and any $1 \leq k \leq m$, then $(T_1, \dots, T_n) \in \mathbf{D}_p^m(\mathcal{H})$ has the radial property.

The next result extends Theorem 3.7 and Theorem 3.8 from [39] to our more general setting. We only sketch the proof.

Theorem 2.7. *Let $T := (T_1, \dots, T_n)$ be an n-tuple of operators with the radial property in the noncommutative domain $\mathbf{D}_f^m(\mathcal{H})$ and let $\mathcal{S} := \overline{\text{span}}\{W_\alpha W_\beta^*;\ \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+\}$. Then there is a unital completely contractive linear map $\Psi_{f,m,T} : \mathcal{S} \to B(\mathcal{H})$ such that*

$$\Psi_{f,m,T}(g) = \lim_{r\to 1} \mathbf{B}_{rT}[g], \qquad g \in \mathcal{S}, \tag{2.14}$$

where the limit exists in the norm topology of $B(\mathcal{H})$, and

$$\Psi_{f,m,T}(W_\alpha W_\beta^*) = T_\alpha T_\beta^*, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+.$$

In particular, the restriction of $\Psi_{f,m,T}$ to the domain algebra $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathbf{D}_f^m)$ is a completely contractive homomorphism. If, in addition, T is a pure n-tuple, then

$$\lim_{r\to 1} \mathbf{B}_{rT}[g] = \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_T[g], \qquad g \in \mathcal{S}.$$

Proof. Since $0 < r < 1$, $(rT_1, \dots, rT_n) \in \mathbf{D}_f^m(\mathcal{H})$ is a pure n-tuple. Indeed, it is enough to see that $\Phi_{f,rT}^k(I) \leq r^k \Phi_{f,T}^k(I) \leq r^k I$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, due to relation (2.10), $K_{f,rT}$ is an isometry. Now, Lemma 2.3 implies

$${K_{f,rT}^{(m)}}^* [W_\alpha W_\beta^* \otimes I_\mathcal{H}] K_{f,rT}^{(m)} = r^{|\alpha|+|\beta|} T_\alpha T_\beta^*, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+. \tag{2.15}$$

Hence, we deduce that

$$\left\| \sum_{\alpha,\beta\in\Lambda} c_{\alpha,\beta} T_\alpha T_\beta^* \right\| \leq \left\| \sum_{\alpha,\beta\in\Lambda} c_{\alpha,\beta} W_\alpha W_\beta^* \right\| \tag{2.16}$$

for any finite set $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{F}_n^+$ and $c_{\alpha,\beta} \in \mathbb{C}$. For each $g \in \mathcal{S}$, let $\{q_k(W_i, W_i^*)\}_{k=0}^\infty$ be a sequence of polynomials of the form $\sum_{\alpha,\beta\in\Lambda} c_{\alpha,\beta} W_\alpha W_\beta^*$ which converges to g, as $k \to \infty$. Define $\Psi_{f,m,T}(g) := \lim_{k\to\infty} q_k(T_i, T_i^*)$. The von Neumann type inequality (2.16) shows that $\Psi_{f,m,T}(g)$ is well-defined and $\|\Psi_{f,m,T}(g)\| \leq \|g\|$.

Using the matrix version on (2.15), we deduce that $\Psi_{f,m,T}$ is a unital completely contractive linear map. To prove the second part of the theorem, one has to use the relation

$$\mathbf{B}_{rT}[g] = {K^{(m)}_{f,rT}}^* (g \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}) K^{(m)}_{f,rT}, \qquad g \in \mathcal{S},$$

and standard approximation arguments (see [39]). ☐

We say that a noncommutative domain $\mathbf{D}_f^m$ has the radial property if each n-tuple $X \in \mathbf{D}_f^m(\mathcal{H})$ has the radial property, where $\mathcal{H}$ is a separable infinite dimensional Hilbert space. Notice that, if $m = 1$, then the noncommutative domain $\mathbf{D}_f^1$ has always the radial property. When $m \geq 1$, we have the following class of noncommutative domains with the radial property.

Example 2.8. *If $p(X_1, \ldots, X_n) := a_1 X_1 + \cdots + a_n X_n$, $a_i > 0$, then the noncommutative domain $\mathbf{D}_p^m(\mathcal{H})$, $m = 1, 2 \ldots$, has the radial property. Indeed, let $X := (X_1, \ldots, X_n) \in \mathbf{D}_p^m(\mathcal{H})$, $0 < r \leq 1$, and note that*

$$\begin{aligned}(id - \Phi_{p,rX})^k(I) &= \left[(id - \Phi_{p,X}) + (1-r)\Phi_{p,X}\right]^k (I) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{k} \binom{k}{j} (1-r)^{k-j} \Phi_{p,X}^{k-j} (id - \Phi_{p,X})^j (I)\end{aligned}$$

for any $k = 1, \ldots, m$. By Corollary 1.5, we have $(id - \Phi_{p,X})^j(I) \geq 0$ for $j = 1, \ldots, m$. Now, using the fact that $\Phi_{p,X}^j$ is a positive linear map, we deduce that $(id - \Phi_{p,rX})^k(I) \geq 0$ for $j = 1, \ldots, m$ and $r \in (0, 1]$, which proves our assertion.

Assume that p is a regular positive noncommutative polynomial and $\mathbf{D}_p^m$ is a noncommutative domain with the radial property. Under these conditions, one can prove the following.

Corollary 2.9. *An n-tuple of operators $(T_1, \ldots, T_n) \in B(\mathcal{H})^n$ is in the noncommutative domain $\mathbf{D}_p^m(\mathcal{H})$ if and only if there exists a completely positive linear map $\Psi : C^*(W_1, \ldots, W_n) \to B(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\Psi(W_\alpha W_\beta^*) = T_\alpha T_\beta^*$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$. In particular, the result holds if $p = a_1 X_1 + \cdots + a_n X_n$ with $a_i > 0$.*

Proof. The direct implication is due to Theorem 2.7 and Arveson's extension theorem [6]. For the converse, use Theorem 1.6, and notice that $\Psi\left[(I - \Phi_{p,W})^k(I)\right] = (I - \Phi_{f,p})^k(I)$ for $k = 1, \ldots, m$. ☐

3. THE HARDY ALGEBRA $F_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_f^m)$ AND A FUNCTIONAL CALCULUS

In this section, we introduce the Hardy algebra $F_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_f^m)$ (resp. $R_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_f^m)$) associated with the noncommutative domain $\mathbf{D}_f^m$ and present some basic properties. The main result is an $F_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_f^m)$- functional calculus for completely noncoisometric n-tuples of operators in the noncommutative domain $\mathbf{D}_f^m$.

Let f be a positive regular free holomorphic function on a noncommutative ball $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_\rho$, $\rho > 0$, with representation $f(X_1, \ldots, X_n) := \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} a_\alpha X_\alpha$. As preliminaries, we need some inequalities concerning the coefficients $b_\alpha^{(m)}$ associated with f (see Section 1). According to Lemma 1.1, if $|\alpha| \geq 1$ and $|\beta| \geq 1$, then we have

$$b_\alpha^{(m)} b_\beta^{(m)} = \sum_{j=1}^{|\alpha|} \sum_{k=1}^{|\beta|} \binom{j+m-1}{m-1} \binom{k+m-1}{m-1} \left[\sum_{\substack{\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_j = \alpha \\ |\gamma_1| \geq 1, \ldots, |\gamma_j| \geq 1}} \sum_{\substack{\sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_k = \beta \\ |\sigma_1| \geq 1, \ldots, |\sigma_k| \geq 1}} a_{\gamma_1} \cdots a_{\gamma_j} a_{\sigma_1} \cdots a_{\sigma_k} \right]$$

and

$$b_{\alpha\beta}^{(m)} = \sum_{p=1}^{|\alpha|+|\beta|} \binom{p+m-1}{m-1} \left[\sum_{\substack{\epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_p = \alpha\beta \\ |\epsilon_1| \geq 1, \ldots, |\epsilon_p| \geq 1}} a_{\epsilon_1} \cdots a_{\epsilon_p} \right].$$

Note that, for any $j = 1, \ldots, |\alpha|$ and $k = 1, \ldots, |\beta|$,

$$\binom{j+m-1}{m-1}\binom{k+m-1}{m-1} \leq M_{|\beta|,m}\binom{j+k+m-1}{m-1},$$

where $M_{|\beta|,m} := \binom{|\beta|+m-1}{m-1}$. A closer look at the above-mentioned equalities reveals that

$$b_\alpha^{(m)} b_\beta^{(m)} \leq M_{|\beta|,m} b_{\alpha\beta}^{(m)}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+. \tag{3.1}$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$b_\alpha^{(m)} b_\beta^{(m)} \leq M_{|\alpha|,m} b_{\alpha\beta}^{(m)}, \quad \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+.$$

Let $\varphi(W_1, \ldots, W_n) = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} c_\beta W_\beta$ be a formal sum with the property that $\sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} |c_\beta|^2 \frac{1}{b_\beta^{(m)}} < \infty$, where the coefficients b_β, $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$, are given by relation (1.1). Using relations (1.5) and (3.1), one can see that $\sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} c_\beta W_\beta(p) \in F^2(H_n)$ for any $p \in \mathcal{P}$, where $\mathcal{P}$ is the set of all polynomial in $F^2(H_n)$. Indeed, for each $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$, we have $\sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} c_\beta W_\beta(e_\gamma) = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} c_\beta \sqrt{\frac{b_\gamma^{(m)}}{b_{\beta\gamma}^{(m)}}} e_{\beta\gamma}$ and, due to inequality (3.1), we deduce that

$$\sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} |c_\beta|^2 \frac{b_\gamma^{(m)}}{b_{\beta\gamma}^{(m)}} \leq M_{|\gamma|,m} \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} |c_\beta|^2 \frac{1}{b_\beta^{(m)}} < \infty.$$

If

$$\sup_{p \in \mathcal{P}, \|p\| \leq 1} \left\| \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} c_\beta W_\beta(p) \right\| < \infty,$$

then there is a unique bounded operator acting on $F^2(H_n)$, which we denote by $\varphi(W_1, \ldots, W_n)$, such that

$$\varphi(W_1, \ldots, W_n)p = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} c_\beta W_\beta(p) \quad \text{for any} \quad p \in \mathcal{P}.$$

The set of all operators $\varphi(W_1, \ldots, W_n) \in B(F^2(H_n))$ satisfying the above-mentioned properties is denoted by $F_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_f^m)$. When $f = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$ and $m = 1$, $F_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_f^m)$ coincides with the noncommutative analytic Toeplitz algebra F_n^∞, which was introduced in [34] in connection with a noncommutative multivariable von Neumann inequality. As in this particular case, one can prove that $F_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_f^m)$ is a Banach algebra, which we call Hardy algebra associated with the noncommutative domain $\mathbf{D}_f^m$.

In a similar manner, using the weighted right creation operators $(\Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda_n)$ associated with $\mathbf{D}_f^m$, one can define the corresponding the Hardy algebra $R_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_f^m)$. More precisely, if $g(\Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda_n) = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} c_{\tilde{\beta}} \Lambda_\beta$ is a formal sum with the property that $\sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} |c_\beta|^2 \frac{1}{b_\beta^{(m)}} < \infty$, where the coefficients $b_\alpha^{(m)}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$, are given by relation (1.1), and such that

$$\sup_{p \in \mathcal{P}, \|p\| \leq 1} \left\| \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} c_{\tilde{\beta}} \Lambda_\beta(p) \right\| < \infty,$$

then there is a unique bounded operator on $F^2(H_n)$, which we denote by $g(\Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda_n)$, such that

$$g(\Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda_n)p = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} c_{\tilde{\beta}} \Lambda_\beta(p) \quad \text{for any} \quad p \in \mathcal{P}.$$

The set of all operators $g(\Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda_n) \in B(F^2(H_n))$ satisfying the above-mentioned properties is denoted by $R_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_f^m)$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let f be a positive regular free holomorphic function on a noncommutative ball $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_\rho$, $\rho > 0$, and let $\mathbf{D}_f^m$ be the associated noncommutative domain. Then the following statements hold:*

(i) $F_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_f^m)' = U^*(F_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_{\tilde{f}}^m))U = R_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_f^m)$, *where* $'$ *stands for the commutant and* $U \in B(F^2(H_n))$ *is the unitary operator defined by* $Ue_\alpha = e_{\tilde{\alpha}}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$;

(ii) $F_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_f^m)'' = F_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_f^m)$ *and* $R_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_f^m)'' = R_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_f^m)$.

Proof. Let $(W_1^{(f)}, \ldots, W_n^{(f)})$(resp. $(\Lambda_1^{(f)}, \ldots, \Lambda_n^{(f)})$) be the weighted left (resp. right) creation operators associated with the noncommutative domain $\mathbf{D}_f^m$. Due to Theorem 1.6, part (iii), we have $U^*(F_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_{\tilde{f}}^m))U = R_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_f^m)$. On the other hand, since $W_i^{(f)}\Lambda_j^{(f)} = \Lambda_j^{(f)}W_i^{(f)}$ for any $i, j = 1, \ldots, n$, it is clear that $R_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_f^m) \subseteq F_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_f^m)'$. To prove the reverse inclusion, let $A \in F_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_f^m)'$. Since $A(1) \in F^2(H_n)$, we have $A(1) = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} c_{\tilde{\beta}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{b_{\tilde{\beta}}^{(m)}}} e_{\tilde{\beta}}$ for some coefficients $\{c_\beta\}_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+}$ with $\sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} |c_\beta|^2 \frac{1}{b_\beta^{(m)}} < \infty$. On the other hand, since $AW_i^{(f)} = W_i^{(f)}A$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$, relations (1.5) and (1.8) imply

$$\begin{aligned} Ae_\alpha &= \sqrt{b_\alpha^{(m)}} AW_\alpha(1) = \sqrt{b_\alpha^{(m)}} W_\alpha A(1) \\ &= \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} c_{\tilde{\beta}} \frac{\sqrt{b_\alpha^{(m)}}}{\sqrt{b_{\alpha\tilde{\beta}}^{(m)}}} e_{\alpha\tilde{\beta}} = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} c_{\tilde{\beta}} \Lambda_\beta(e_\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$. Therefore, $A(q) = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n} c_{\tilde{\beta}} \Lambda_\beta(q)$ for any polynomial q in in the full Fock space $F^2(H_n)$. Since A is a bounded operator, $g(\Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda_n) := \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n} c_{\tilde{\beta}} \Lambda_\beta$ is in $R_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_f^m)$ and $A = g(\Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda_n)$. Therefore, $R_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_f^m) = F_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_f^m)'$. The item (ii) follows easily applying part (i). This completes the proof. ☐

An obvious consequence of Proposition 3.1 is that $F_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_f^m)$ is WOT-closed (resp. w^*-closed) in $B(F^2(H_n))$.

Let Q_k, $k \geq 0$, be the orthogonal projection of $F^2(H_n)$ on the the subspace span $\{e_\alpha : |\alpha| = k\}$. For each integer j, define the completely contractive projection $\Phi_j : B(F^2(H_n)) \to B(F^2(H_n))$ by

$$\Phi_j(A) := \sum_{k \geq \max\{0, -j\}} Q_k A Q_{k+j}.$$

According to Lemma 1.1 from [17], the Cesaro operators on $B(F^2(H_n))$ defined by

$$\Sigma_k(A) := \sum_{|j| < k} \left(1 - \frac{|j|}{k}\right) \Phi_j(A), \quad k \geq 1,$$

are completely contractive and $\Sigma_k(A)$ converges to A in the strong operator topology. Now, let $A \in F_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_f^m)$ have the Fourier representation $\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} a_\alpha W_\alpha$. Due to the definition of the weighted left creation operators (see (1.4)), one can check that

$$Q_{k+j} A Q_j = \left(\sum_{|\alpha| = k} a_\alpha W_\alpha\right) Q_j, \quad k \geq 0, j \geq 0,$$

and $Q_j A Q_{k+j} = 0$ if $k \geq 1$ and $j \geq 0$. Therefore,

$$\Sigma_k(A) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k-1} \left(1 - \frac{|\alpha|}{k}\right) a_\alpha W_\alpha$$

converges to A, as $k \to \infty$, in the strong operator topology. Therefore, we have proved the following result.

Proposition 3.2. *The algebra $F_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_f^m)$ is the sequential SOT-(resp. WOT-, w^*-) closure of all polynomials in $W_1, \ldots, W_n$, and the identity.*

Now, we have all the ingredients to extend the corresponding results from [18] and [47], to our more general setting. More precisely, one can similarly prove that the following statements hold:

(i) The Hardy algebra $F_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_f^m)$ is inverse closed.
(ii) The only normal elements in $F_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_f^m)$ are the scalars.
(iii) Every element $A\in F_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_f^m)$ has its spectrum $\sigma(A)\neq\{0\}$ and it is injective.
(iv) The algebra $F_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_f^m)$ contains no non-trivial idempotents and no non-zero quasinilpotent elements.
(v) The algebra $F_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_f^m)$ is semisimple.
(vi) If $A\in F_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_f^m)$, $n\geq 2$, then $\sigma(A)=\sigma_e(A)$.

We recall that an n-tuple $(T_1,\dots,T_n)\in \mathbf{D}_f^m(\mathcal{H})$ has the radial property with respect to $\mathbf{D}_f^m(\mathcal{H})$ if there exists a constant $\delta\in(0,1)$ such that $(rT_1,\dots,rT_n)\in\mathbf{D}_f^m(\mathcal{H})$ for any $r\in(\delta,1)$.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $(T_1,\dots,T_n)$be an n-tuple of operators with the radial property in the noncommutative domain $\mathbf{D}_f^m(\mathcal{H})$. Then*

$$g(rT_1,\dots,rT_n)K_{f,T}^{(m)^*}=K_{f,T}^{(m)^*}(g(rW_1,\dots,rW_n)\otimes I_{\mathcal{H}})\qquad \text{for any } \ r\in(\delta,1) \tag{3.2}$$

and $g(W_1,\dots,W_n)=\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+}c_\beta W_\beta$ in $F_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_f^m)$, where $g(rT_1,\dots,rT_n):=\sum_{k=0}^\infty\sum_{|\alpha|=k}c_\alpha r^{|\alpha|}T_\alpha$, with the convergence in the operator norm topology.

Proof. According to relations (1.6) and (3.1), the operators $\{W_\beta\}_{|\beta|=k}$ have orthogonal ranges and

$$\|W_\beta x\|\leq\frac{1}{\sqrt{b_\beta^{(m)}}}M_{|\beta|,m}\|x\|,\qquad x\in F^2(H_n),$$

where $M_{|\beta|,m}:=\binom{|\beta|+m-1}{m-1}$. Consequently, we deduce that

$$\left\|\sum_{|\beta|=k}b_\beta W_\beta W_\beta^*\right\|\leq\binom{k+m-1}{m-1}\qquad\text{for any}\quad k=0,1,\dots. \tag{3.3}$$

Since $g(W_1,\dots,W_n)\in F_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_f^m)$, we have $\sum\limits_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+}|c_\beta|^2\frac{1}{b_\beta}<\infty$. Hence and using (3.3), we deduce that, for $0<t<1$,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=0}^\infty t^k\left\|\sum_{|\beta|=k}c_\beta W_\beta\right\| &\leq\sum_{k=0}^\infty t^k\left(\sum_{|\beta|=k}|c_\beta|^2\frac{1}{b_\beta^{(m)}}\right)^{1/2}\left\|\sum_{|\beta|=k}b_\beta^{(m)}W_\beta W_\beta^*\right\|^{1/2}\\ &\leq\sum_{k=0}^\infty\left(\sum_{|\beta|=k}|c_\beta|^2\frac{1}{b_\beta^{(m)}}\right)^{1/2}t^k\binom{k+m-1}{m-1}^{1/2}\\ &\leq\left(\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+}|c_\beta|^2\frac{1}{b_\beta}\right)^{1/2}\left(\sum_{k=0}^\infty t^{2k}\binom{k+m-1}{m-1}\right)^{1/2}<\infty,\end{aligned}$$

which proves that

$$g(tW_1,\dots,tW_n):=\lim_{k\to\infty}\sum_{p=0}^k\sum_{|\alpha|=p}t^{|\alpha|}c_\alpha W_\alpha \tag{3.4}$$

is in the noncommutative domain algebra $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathbf{D}_f^m)$, where the convergence is in the operator norm. Consequently, Theorem 2.7 implies that $g(rT_1,\dots,rT_n):=\sum\limits_{k=0}^\infty\sum\limits_{|\alpha|=k}c_\alpha r^{|\alpha|}T_\alpha$ is convergent in the operator norm topology. On the other hand, due to Lemma 2.3, we have $T_i K_{f,T}^{(m)^*} = K_{f,T}^{(m)^*}(W_i \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}})$ for any $i = 1, \dots, n$. Now, one can deduce (3.2). This completes the proof. $\square$

In what follows we show that the restriction of the noncommutative Berezin transform to the Hardy algebra $F_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_f^m)$ provides a functional calculus associated with each pure n-tuple of operators in the noncommutative domain $\mathbf{D}_f^m(\mathcal{H})$.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $T := (T_1, \dots, T_n)$ be a pure n-tuple of operators in the noncommutative domain $\mathbf{D}_f^m(\mathcal{H})$ and define the map*

$$\Psi_T : F_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_f^m) \to B(\mathcal{H}) \quad \text{by} \quad \Psi_T(g) := \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_T[g],$$

where $\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_T$ is the noncommutative Berezin transform at $T \in \mathbf{D}_f^m(\mathcal{H})$. Then

(i) *Ψ_T is WOT-continuous (resp. SOT-continuous) on bounded sets;*
(ii) *Ψ_T is a unital completely contractive homomorphism and $\Psi_T(W_\alpha) = T_\alpha$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$.*

If, in addition, the universal model $(W_1, \dots, W_n)$ has the radial property with respect to $\mathbf{D}_f^m(F^2(H_n))$, then

$$\Psi_T(g) = \text{SOT-}\lim_{r \to 1} g(rT_1, \dots, rT_n)$$

for any $g := \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} c_\beta W_\beta$ in $F_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_f^m)$, where $g(rT_1, \dots, rT_n) := \sum_{k=0}^\infty \sum_{|\alpha|=k} c_\alpha r^{|\alpha|} T_\alpha$ and the convergence is in the operator norm topology.

Proof. According to Section 2 (see relation (2.11)), we have

$$\Psi_T(g) = K_{f,T}^{(m)^*}(g \otimes I) K_{f,T}^{(m)}, \qquad g \in F_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_f^m), \tag{3.5}$$

where the noncommutative Berezin kernel $K_{f,T}^{(m)}$ is given by relation (2.13). Using standard facts in functional analysis, we deduce part (i).

Now, we prove part (ii). Since T is a pure n-tuple of operators, by Lemma 2.3, $K_{f,T}^{(m)}$ is an isometry. Consequently, relation (3.5) implies

$$\left\| [\Psi_T(g_{ij})]_k \right\| \le \left\| [g_{ij}]_k \right\|$$

for any operator-valued matrix $[g_{ij}]_k$ in $M_k(F_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_f^m))$, which proves that Ψ_T is a unital completely contractive linear map. Due to Theorem 2.5, Ψ_T is a homomorphism on polynomials in $F_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_f^m)$. By Proposition 3.2, the polynomials in $W_1, \dots, W_n$ and the identity are sequentially WOT-dense in $F_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_f^m)$. On the other hand, due to part (i), Ψ_T is WOT- continuous on bounded sets. Now, one can use the principle of uniform boundedness to deduce that Ψ_T is also a homomorphism on $F_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_f^m)$.

Now, we prove the last part of this theorem. Assume that the model n-tuple $(W_1, \dots, W_n)$ has the radial property with respect to $\mathbf{D}_f^m(F^2(H_n))$. First, we show that

$$g(W_1, \dots, W_n) = \text{SOT-}\lim_{t \to 1} g(tW_1, \dots, tW_n) \tag{3.6}$$

for any $g(W_1, \dots, W_n) := \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} c_\beta W_\beta \in F_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_f)$. According to Lemma 3.3,

$$g(tW_1, \dots, tW_n) := \lim_{k \to \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{k} \sum_{|\alpha|=p} t^{|\alpha|} c_\alpha W_\alpha \tag{3.7}$$

is in the noncommutative domain algebra $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathbf{D}_f^m)$, where the convergence is in the operator norm topology. Fix now $\gamma, \sigma, \epsilon \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ and consider the polynomial $p(W_1, \dots, W_n) := \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+, |\beta| \le |\gamma|} c_\beta W_\beta$. Since $W_\beta^* e_\gamma = 0$ for any $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ with $|\beta| > |\gamma|$, we have

$$g(rW_1, \dots, rW_n)^* e_\alpha = p(rW_1, \dots, rW_n)^* e_\alpha$$

for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ with $|\alpha| \leq |\gamma|$ and any $r \in [0,1]$. On the other hand, since $rW := (rW_1, \ldots, rW_n) \in \mathbf{D}_f^m(F^2(H_n))$ for $r \in (\delta, 1)$, Lemma 2.3 implies

$$K_{f,rW}^{(m)} p(rW_1, \ldots, rW_n)^* = [p(W_1, \ldots, W_n)^* \otimes I_{F^2(H_n)}] K_{f,rW}^{(m)}$$

for any $r \in (\delta, 1)$. Using all these facts, careful calculations reveal that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Big\langle K_{f,rW}^{(m)} g(rW_1, \ &\ldots, rW_n)^* e_\gamma, e_\sigma \otimes e_\epsilon \rangle \\
&= \Big\langle K_{f,rW}^{(m)} p(rW_1, \ldots, rW_n)^* e_\gamma, e_\sigma \otimes e_\epsilon \Big\rangle \\
&= \Big\langle [(p(W_1, \ldots, W_n)^* \otimes I_{F^2(H_n)})] K_{f,rW}^{(m)} e_\gamma, e_\sigma \otimes e_\epsilon \Big\rangle \\
&= \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} r^{|\beta|} \sqrt{b_\beta^{(m)}} \left\langle p(W_1, \ldots, W_n)^* e_\beta, e_\sigma \right\rangle \left\langle W_\beta^* e_\gamma, \Delta_{f,rW} e_\epsilon \right\rangle \\
&= \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} r^{|\beta|} \sqrt{b_\beta^{(m)}} \left\langle g(W_1, \ldots, W_n)^* e_\beta, e_\sigma \right\rangle \left\langle W_\beta^* e_\gamma, \Delta_{f,rW} e_\epsilon \right\rangle \\
&= \Big\langle [g(W_1, \ldots, W_n)^* \otimes I_{F^2(H_n)}] K_{f,rW}^{(m)} e_\gamma, e_\sigma \otimes e_\epsilon \Big\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

for any $r \in (\delta, 1)$ and $\gamma, \sigma, \epsilon \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$. Hence, since $g(rW_1, \ldots, rW_n)$ and $g(W_1, \ldots, W_n)$ are bounded operators, we deduce that

$$K_{f,rW}^{(m)} g(rW_1, \ldots, rW_n)^* = [g(W_1, \ldots, W_n)^* \otimes I_{F^2(H_n)}] K_{f,rW}^{(m)}.$$

Since the n-tuple $rW := (rW_1, \ldots, rW_n) \in \mathbf{D}_f^{(m)}(F^2(H_n))$ is pure, the Berezin kernel $K_{f,rW}^{(m)}$ is an isometry and, therefore, the equality above implies

$$\|g(rW_1, \ldots, rW_n)\| \leq \|g(W_1, \ldots, W_n)\| \quad \text{for any } r \in (\gamma, 1). \tag{3.8}$$

Hence, and due to the fact that $g(W_1, \ldots, W_n) e_\alpha = \lim\limits_{r \to 1} g(rW_1, \ldots, rW_n) e_\alpha$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$, an approximation argument implies relation (3.6).

According to Lemma 3.3, we have

$$g(rT_1, \ldots, rT_n) K_{f,T}^{(m)^*} = K_{f,T}^{(m)^*} (g(rW_1, \ldots, rW_n) \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}) \qquad \text{for any } \ r \in (\delta, 1). \tag{3.9}$$

On the other hand, since the map $Y \mapsto Y \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}$ is SOT-continuous on bounded sets, relations (3.6) and (3.8) imply that

$$\text{SOT-}\lim_{r \to 1} [g(rW_1, \ldots, rW_n) \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}] = g(W_1, \ldots, W_n) \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}. \tag{3.10}$$

Hence, using relation (3.9) and that $K_{f,T}^{(m)}$ is an isometry, we deduce that

$$\text{SOT-}\lim_{r \to 1} g(rT_1, \ldots, rT_n) = K_{f,T}^{(m)^*} [g(W_1, \ldots, W_n) \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}] K_{f,T}^{(m)} = \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_T[g]. \tag{3.11}$$

This completes the proof. □

We need now the following technical result concerning the Berezin transform and the radial property.

Lemma 3.5. *If $T := (T_1, \ldots, T_n) \in \mathbf{D}_f^m(\mathcal{H})$ and the universal model $(W_1, \ldots, W_n)$ have the radial property, then there exists $\delta \in (0,1)$ such that the noncommutative Berezin kernel satisfies the relation*

$$K_{f,rT}^{(m)^*} (g(W_1, \ldots, W_n) \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}) = g(rT_1, \ldots, rT_n) K_{f,rT}^{(m)^*} \tag{3.12}$$

for any $g(W_1, \ldots, W_n) \in F_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_f^m)$ and $r \in (\delta, 1)$.

If, in addition, $T := (T_1, \ldots, T_n) \in \mathbf{D}_f^m(\mathcal{H})$ is a pure n-tuple of operators, then

$$\mathbf{B}_{rT}[g] = \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_T[g_r], \quad r \in (\delta, 1),$$

where $g_r(W_1, \ldots, W_n) := g(rW_1, \ldots, rW_n)$.

Proof. First, notice that Lemma 2.3 implies

$$K_{f,rT}^{(m)\,*}[p(W_1,\dots,W_n)\otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}] = p(rT_1,\dots,rT_n)K_{f,rT}^{(m)\,*} \tag{3.13}$$

for any polynomial $p(W_1,\dots,W_n)$ and $r\in(\delta,1)$. Since $rT := (rT_1,\dots,rT_n)\in \mathbf{D}_f^{(m)}(\mathcal{H})$, relation (3.7) and Theorem 2.7 imply

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\sum_{|\alpha|\le k} t^{|\alpha|}r^{|\alpha|}c_\alpha T_\alpha = g_t(rT_1,\dots,rT_n)\quad \text{for any } t\in[0,1), r\in(\delta,1),$$

where the convergence is in the operator norm topology. Using relation (3.13), when $p(W_1,\dots,W_n) := \sum_{q=0}^{k}\sum_{|\alpha|=q} t^{|\alpha|}c_\alpha W_\alpha$, and taking the limit as $k\to\infty$, we get

$$K_{f,rT}^{(m)\,*}[g_t(W_1,\dots,W_n)\otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}] = g_t(rT_1,\dots,rT_n)K_{f,rT}^{(m)\,*}\quad \text{for } r\in(\delta,1). \tag{3.14}$$

On the other hand, let us prove that

$$\lim_{t\to 1} g_t(rT_1,\dots,rT_n) = g(rT_1,\dots,rT_n), \tag{3.15}$$

where the convergence is in the operator norm topology. Notice that, if $\epsilon>0$, there is $m_0\in\mathbb{N}$ such that $\sum_{k=m_0}^{\infty} r^k\binom{k+m-1}{m-1} < \frac{\epsilon}{4M}$, where $M := \|g(W_1,\dots,W_n)(1)\|$. Since $(T_1,\dots,T_n)\in\mathbf{D}_f^{(m)}(\mathcal{H})$, Theorem 2.7 and relation (3.3) imply

$$\left\|\sum_{|\beta|=k} b_\alpha T_\beta T_\beta^*\right\| \le \left\|\sum_{|\beta|=k} b_\alpha W_\beta W_\beta^*\right\| \le \binom{k+m-1}{m-1}.$$

Now, we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=m_0}^{\infty} r^k\left\|\sum_{|\beta|=k} c_\beta T_\beta\right\| &\le \sum_{k=m_0}^{\infty} r^k\left(\sum_{|\beta|=k}|c_\alpha|^2\frac{1}{b_\beta}\right)^{1/2}\left\|\sum_{|\beta|=k} b_\beta T_\beta T_\beta^*\right\|^{1/2}\\ &\le M\sum_{k=m_0}^{\infty} r^k\binom{k+m-1}{m-1} < \frac{\epsilon}{4}.\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, there exists $0<d<1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\|\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{|\alpha|=k} t^{|\alpha|}r^{|\alpha|}c_\alpha T_\alpha - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{|\alpha|=k} r^{|\alpha|}c_\alpha T_\alpha\right\|\\ &\qquad\le \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \left\|\sum_{k=1}^{m_0-1} r^k(t^k-1)\sum_{|\beta|=k} c_\beta T_\beta\right\|\\ &\qquad\le \frac{\epsilon}{2} + M\sum_{k=1}^{m_0-1} r^k(t^k-1)\binom{k+m-1}{m-1} < \epsilon.\end{aligned}$$

for any $t\in(d,1)$. Hence, we deduce (3.15). Using relations (3.10), (3.15), and taking the limit in (3.14), as $t\to 1$, we obtain (3.12). Now, assume that T is a pure n-tuple. Based on Proposition 2.4 and relations (2.12), (3.2), and (3.12), we deduce that $\mathbf{B}_{rT}[g] = \mathbf{B}_T[g_r]$ for $r\in(\delta,1)$. The proof is complete. □

Using Theorem 3.4 and Lemma 3.5, we can deduce the following Fatou type result.

Corollary 3.6. *Let $T := (T_1,\dots,T_n)\in\mathbf{D}_f^m(\mathcal{H})$ be a pure n-tuple of operators and assume that $(W_1,\dots,W_n)$ has the radial property. Then*

$$\text{SOT-}\lim_{r\to 1}\mathbf{B}_{rT}[g] = \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_T[g]\quad \textit{for any } g\in F_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_f^m).$$

Proof. Recall that $\Phi_{f,T}(X) := \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_\alpha T_\alpha X T_\alpha^*$, where the series is WOT-convergent. Since the sequence $\sum_{1\leq|\alpha|\leq k} a_\alpha r^{2|\alpha|} W_\alpha W_\alpha^*$ is bounded and SOT-convergent to $\Phi_{f,rW}(I)$, as $k\to\infty$, the proof of theorem 2.5 implies

$$\Phi_{f,rT}(I) = K_{f,T}^{(m)^*}[\Phi_{f,rW}(I)\otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}]K_{f,T}^{(m)}$$

and, consequently,

$$(I-\Phi_{f,rT})^m(I) = K_{f,T}^{(m)^*}[(I-\Phi_{f,rW})^m(I)\otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}]K_{f,T}^{(m)}.$$

Since $(W_1,\ldots,W_n)$ has the radial property, so does $(T_1,\ldots,T_n)$. Using now Theorem 3.4 and Lemma 3.5, we can complete the proof. $\square$

An n-tuple $T := (T_1,\ldots,T_n)\in \mathbf{D}_f^m(\mathcal{H})$ is called completely non-coisometric (c.n.c) with respect to the noncommutative domain $\mathbf{D}_f^m(\mathcal{H})$ if there is no vector $h\in\mathcal{H}$, $h\neq 0$ such that $\left\langle \Phi_{f,T}^k(I)h,h\right\rangle = \|h\|^2$ for any $k=1,2,\ldots$. Due to relation (2.10), we

$$\|K_{f,T}^{(m)}h\|^2 = \|h\|^2 - \|Q_{f,T}^{1/2}h\|^2,\quad h\in\mathcal{H},$$

where $Q_{f,T} := \text{SOT-}\lim_{k\to\infty}\Phi_{f,T}^k(I)$. Notice that T is c.n.c. if and only if the noncommutative Berezin kernel $K_{f,T}^{(m)}$ is one-to-one.

Now, we can present an $F_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_f^m)$-functional calculus for c.n.c. n-tuples of operators in the noncommutative domain $\mathbf{D}_f^m(\mathcal{H})$.

Theorem 3.7. *Let $\mathbf{D}_f^m$ be a noncommutative domain such that the universal model $(W_1,\ldots,W_n)$ has the radial property. If $T := (T_1,\ldots,T_n)\in\mathbf{D}_f^m(\mathcal{H})$ is a completely non-coisometric n-tuple of operators with the radial property, then*

$$\Phi(g) := \text{SOT-}\lim_{r\to 1} g_r(T_1,\ldots,T_n),\qquad g=g(W_1,\ldots,W_n)\in F_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_f^m),$$

exists in the strong operator topology and defines a map $\Phi : F_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_f^m)\to B(\mathcal{H})$ with the following properties:

(i) $\Phi(g) = \text{SOT-}\lim_{r\to1}\mathbf{B}_{rT}[g]$, *where $\mathbf{B}_{rT}$ is the noncommutative Berezin transform at $rT\in\mathbf{D}_f^m(\mathcal{H})$;*
(ii) Φ *is WOT-continuous (resp. SOT-continuous) on bounded sets;*
(iii) Φ *is a unital completely contractive homomorphism.*

Proof. Let $\delta\in(0,1)$ be such that $(rT_1,\ldots,rT_n)\in\mathbf{D}_f^m(\mathcal{H})$ and $(rW_1,\ldots,rW_n)\in\mathbf{D}_f^m(F^2(H_n))$ for any $r\in(\delta,1)$. Due to (3.8) and taking the limit in relation (3.2), as $r\to 1$, we deduce that the map $G:\text{range}\, K_{f,T}^{(m)^*}\to\mathcal{H}$ given by $Gy := \lim_{r\to1} g_r(T_1,\ldots,T_n)y$, $y\in\text{range}\, K_{f,T}^{(m)^*}$, is well-defined, linear, and

$$\|GK_{f,T}^{(m)^*}\varphi\| \leq \limsup_{r\to1}\|g_r(W_1,\ldots,W_n)\|\|K_{f,T}^{(m)^*}\varphi\| \leq \|g(W_1,\ldots,W_n)\|\|K_{f,T}^{(m)^*}\varphi\|$$

for any $\varphi\in F^2(H_n)\otimes\mathcal{H}$.

Now, assume that $T=(T_1,\ldots,T_n)\in\mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H})$ is c.n.c.. Since the Berezin kernel $K_{f,T}^{(m)}$ is one-to-one, its range is dense in $\mathcal{H}$. Consequently, the map G has a unique extension to a bounded linear operator on $\mathcal{H}$, denoted also by G, with $\|G\|\leq\|g(W_1,\ldots,W_n)\|$. Let us show that

$$\lim_{r\to1} g_r(T_1,\ldots,T_n)h = Gh\quad\text{ for any }\ h\in\mathcal{H}. \tag{3.16}$$

Let $\{y_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of vectors in the range of $K_{f,T}^*$, which converges to y. According to Theorem 2.7 and relations (3.7), (3.8), we have

$$\|g_r(T_1,\ldots,T_n)\| \leq \|g_r(W_1,\ldots,W_n)\| \leq \|g(W_1,\ldots,W_n)\|$$

for any $r \in (\delta, 1)$. Let $\{y_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of vectors in the range of $K_{f,T}^{(m)^*}$, which converges to y, and notice that

$$\begin{aligned}\|Gh - g_r(T_1,\ldots,T_n)h\| &\leq \|Gh - Gy_k\| + \|Gy_k - g_r(T_1,\ldots,T_n)y_k\| \\ &\quad + \|g_r(T_1,\ldots,T_n)y_k - g_r(T_1,\ldots,T_n)h\| \\ &\leq 2\|g(W_1,\ldots,W_n)\|\|h - y_k\| + \|Gy_k - g_r(T_1,\ldots,T_n)y_k\|.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\lim_{r\to 1} g_r(T_1,\ldots,T_n)y_k = Gy_k$, relation (3.16) follows. Due to Lemma 3.5, we have

$$g_r(T_1,\ldots,T_n) = K_{f,rT}^{(m)}{}^*[g(W_1,\ldots,W_n)\otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}]K_{f,rT}^{(m)}, \tag{3.17}$$

which together with (3.16) imply part (i) of the theorem.

Now let us prove part (ii). Due to relation (3.17), we have $\|g_r(T_1,\ldots,T_n)\| \leq \|g(W_1,\ldots,W_n)\|$ and, therefore, $\|\Phi(g)\| \leq \|g\|$ for $g \in F_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_f^m)$. Taking $r \to 1$ in relation (3.2) of Lemma 3.3 and using part (i), we obtain

$$\Phi(g)K_{f,T}^{(m)^*} = K_{f,T}^{(m)^*}(g\otimes I), \quad g \in F_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_f^m). \tag{3.18}$$

Let $\{g_i\}$ be a bounded net in $F_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_f^m)$ such that $g_i \to g \in F_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_f^m)$ in the weak (resp. strong) operator topology. Then $g_i \otimes I$ converges to $g\otimes I$ in the same topologies. By (3.18), we have $\Phi(g_i)K_{f,T}^{(m)^*} = K_{f,T}^{(m)^*}(g_i\otimes I)$. Since the range of $K_{f,T}^{(m)^*}$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}$ and $\{\Phi(g_i)\}$ is bounded, an approximation argument shows that $\Phi(g_i) \to \Phi(g)$ in the weak (resp. strong) operator topology.

To prove (iii), note that (3.17) and the fact that $K_{f,rT}$ is an isometry for $r \in (\delta, 1)$ imply

$$\|[g_{ij}(rT_1,\ldots,rT_n)]_k\| \leq \|[g_{ij}]_k\|$$

for any operator-valued matrix $[g_{ij}]_k \in M_k(F_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_f^m))$ and $r \in (\delta,1)$. Hence, and due to the fact that $\Phi(g_{ij}) = \text{SOT-}\lim_{r\to 1} g_{ij}(rT_1,\ldots,rT_n)$, we deduce that Φ is completely contractive map. On the other hand, due to Theorem 2.7, Φ is a homomorphism on polynomials in $W_1,\ldots,W_n$ and the identity. Since these polynomials are sequentially WOT-dense in $F_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_f^m)$ (see Proposition 3.2) and Φ is WOT-continuous on bounded sets, we deduce part (iii). The proof is complete. $\square$

Consider the particular case when the domain $\mathbf{D}_p^m$, $m \geq 1$, is determined by the noncommutative polynomial $p = a_1Z_1 + \cdots + a_nZ_n$, $a_i > 0$. Due to Example 2.8, $\mathbf{D}_p^m$ has the radial property. Therefore, according to Theorem 3.7, there is an $F_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_p^m)$-functional calculus for any c.n.c. n-tuple of operators in $\mathbf{D}_p^m(\mathcal{H})$. When $m \geq 2$, $n = 1$, and $p = Z$, we obtain a functional calculus for Agler's m-hypercontractions. On the other hand, if $m = 1$, $n = 1$, and $p = Z_1 + \cdots + Z_n$, we obtain the F_n^∞-functional calculus for row contractions [35]. Moreover, if $m = 1$, $n = 1$, and $p = Z$, we obtain the Nagy-Foias H^∞-functional calculus for c.n.c contractions. We remark that the H^∞-functional calculus works for a larger class of contractions (see [52]).

4. WEIGHTED SHIFTS, SYMMETRIC WEIGHTED FOCK SPACES, AND MULTIPLIERS

In this section, we find all the eigenvectors for $W_1^*,\ldots,W_n^*$, where $(W_1,\ldots,W_n)$ is the universal model associated with the noncommutative domain $\mathbf{D}_f^m$. As consequences, we identify the w^*-continuous multiplicative linear functional on the Hardy algebra $F_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_f^m)$ and find the joint right spectrum of $(W_1,\ldots,W_n)$. We introduce the symmetric weighted Fock space $F_s^2(\mathbf{D}_f^m)$ and identify it with a reproducing kernel Hilbert space $H^2(\mathbf{D}_{f,\circ}^1(\mathbb{C}))$. We also show that the algebra of all its multipliers is reflexive. This section plays an important role in connecting the results of the present paper to analytic function theory on Reinhardt domains in $\mathbb{C}^n$, as well as, to model theory for commuting n-tuples of operators.

Let $f = \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_\alpha X_\alpha$ be a positive regular free holomorphic function on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]$, $\rho > 0$, and define

$$\mathbf{D}_{f,\circ}^1(\mathbb{C}) := \left\{\lambda = (\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n :\ \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_\alpha |\lambda_\alpha|^2 < 1\right\} \subset \mathbf{D}_f^m(\mathbb{C}),$$

where $\lambda_\alpha := \lambda_{i_1}\cdots\lambda_{i_m}$ if $\alpha = g_{i_1}\cdots g_{i_m} \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$, and λ_{g_0}=1.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $(W_1,\ldots,W_n)$ (resp. $(\Lambda_1,\ldots,\Lambda_n)$) be the weighted left (resp. right) creation operators associated with the noncommutative domain $\mathbf{D}_f^m$. The eigenvectors for $W_1^*,\ldots,W_n^*$ (resp. $\Lambda_1^*,\ldots,\Lambda_n^*$) are precisely the vectors*

$$z_\lambda := \left(I - \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_{\tilde\alpha}\overline{\lambda}_\alpha\Lambda_\alpha\right)^{-m}(1) \in F^2(H_n) \quad \text{for } \lambda = (\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n) \in \mathbf{D}^1_{f,\circ}(\mathbb{C}),$$

where $\tilde\alpha$ denotes the reverse of α. They satisfy the equations

$$W_i^* z_\lambda = \overline{\lambda}_i z_\lambda, \quad \Lambda_i^* z_\lambda = \overline{\lambda}_i z_\lambda \qquad \text{for } i = 1,\ldots,n,$$

and each vector z_λ is cyclic for $R_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_f^m)$.

If $\lambda := (\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n) \in \mathbf{D}^1_{f,\circ}(\mathbb{C})$ and $\varphi(W_1,\ldots,W_n) := \sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} c_\beta W_\beta$ is in the Hardy algebra $F_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_f^m)$, then $\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+}|c_\beta||\lambda_\beta| < \infty$ and the map

$$\Phi_\lambda : F_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_f) \to \mathbb{C}, \qquad \Phi_\lambda(\varphi(W_1,\ldots,W_n)) := \varphi(\lambda),$$

is w^*-continuous and multiplicative. Moreover, $\varphi(W_1,\ldots,W_n)^* z_\lambda = \overline{\varphi(\lambda)} z_\lambda$ and

$$\varphi(\lambda) = \langle \varphi(W_1,\ldots,W_n)1, z_\lambda\rangle = \langle \varphi(W_1,\ldots,W_n)u_\lambda, u_\lambda\rangle,$$

where $u_\lambda := \frac{z_\lambda}{\|z_\lambda\|}$.

Proof. Since $\sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_{\tilde\alpha}\Lambda_\alpha\Lambda_\alpha^*$ is SOT-convergent and, for any $\lambda = (\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n) \in \mathbf{D}^1_{f,\circ}(\mathbb{C})$,

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_{\tilde\alpha}\overline{\lambda}_{\tilde\alpha}\Lambda_\alpha\right\| &\leq \left\|\sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_{\tilde\alpha}\Lambda_\alpha\Lambda_\alpha^*\right\| \left(\sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_\alpha|\lambda_\alpha|^2\right)^{1/2}\\ &\leq \left(\sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_\alpha|\lambda_\alpha|^2\right)^{1/2} < 1,\end{aligned}$$

the operator $\left(I - \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_{\tilde\alpha}\overline{\lambda}_{\tilde\alpha}\Lambda_\alpha\right)^{-m}$ is well-defined. Due to the results of Section 1 (see Lemma 1.1), we have

$$\left(I - \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_{\tilde\alpha}\overline{\lambda}_{\tilde\alpha}\Lambda_\alpha\right)^{-m} = \sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} b_{\tilde\beta}^{(m)}\overline{\lambda}_{\tilde\beta}\Lambda_\beta,$$

where the coefficients b_β, $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$, are defined by relation (1.1). Hence, and using relation (1.8), we obtain

$$z_\lambda = \left(I - \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_{\tilde\alpha}\overline{\lambda}_\alpha\Lambda_\alpha\right)^{-m}(1) = \sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} b_{\tilde\beta}^{(m)}\overline{\lambda}_{\tilde\beta}\Lambda_\beta(1) = \sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+}\sqrt{b_\beta^{(m)}}\,\overline{\lambda}_\beta e_\beta. \tag{4.1}$$

The fact that $z_\lambda \in F^2(H_n)$ is a cyclic vector for $R_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ is obvious.

Now, notice that if $\lambda = (\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n) \in \mathbf{D}^1_{f,\circ}(\mathbb{C})$, then λ is of class $C_{\cdot 0}$ with respect to $\mathbf{D}^1_{f,\circ}(\mathbb{C})$. Using relation (2.9) in our particular case, we get

$$\left(1 - \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_\alpha|\lambda_\alpha|^2\right)^m \left(\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} b_\beta^{(m)}|\lambda_\beta|^2\right) = 1.$$

Consequently, we have

$$\|z_\lambda\| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\left(1 - \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_\alpha|\lambda_\alpha|^2\right)^m}}. \tag{4.2}$$

Due to relation (1.5), we have

$$W_i^* e_\alpha = \begin{cases} \frac{\sqrt{b_\gamma^{(m)}}}{\sqrt{b_\alpha^{(m)}}} e_\gamma & \text{if } \alpha = g_i\gamma \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

A simple computation shows that $W_i^* z_\lambda = \overline{\lambda}_i z_\lambda$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Similarly, one can use relation (1.8) to prove that $\Lambda_i^* z_\lambda = \overline{\lambda}_i z_\lambda$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Conversely, let $z = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} c_\beta e_\beta \in F^2(H_n)$ and assume that $W_i^* z = \overline{\lambda}_i z$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, for some n-tuple $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Using the definition of the weighted left creation operators $W_1, \dots, W_n$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} c_\alpha = \langle z, e_\alpha \rangle &= \left\langle z, \sqrt{b_\alpha^{(m)}} W_\alpha(1) \right\rangle \\ &= \sqrt{b_\alpha^{(m)}} \, \langle W_\alpha^* z, 1 \rangle = \sqrt{b_\alpha^{(m)}} \overline{\lambda}_\alpha \, \langle z, 1 \rangle \\ &= c_0 \sqrt{b_\alpha^{(m)}} \overline{\lambda}_\alpha \end{aligned}$$

for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$, whence $z = a_0 \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} \sqrt{b_\beta^{(m)}} \overline{\lambda}_\beta e_\beta$. Since $z \in F^2(H_n)$, we must have $\sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} b_\beta^{(m)} |\lambda_\beta|^2 < \infty$. On the other hand, relation (1.1) implies

$$\left(\sum_{j=0}^{k} \left(\sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} a_\alpha |\lambda_\alpha|^2 \right)^j \right)^m \leq \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} b_\beta^{(m)} |\lambda_\beta|^2 < \infty$$

for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Letting $k \to \infty$ in the relation above, we must have $\sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} a_\alpha |\lambda_\alpha|^2 < 1$, whence $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbf{D}_{f,\circ}^1(\mathbb{C})$. A similar result can be proved for the weighted right creation operators $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n$ if one uses relation (1.8).

Now, let us prove the last part of the theorem. Since $\varphi(W_1, \dots, W_n) = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} c_\beta W_\beta$ is in the Hardy algebra $F_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_f^m)$, we have $\sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} |c_\beta|^2 \frac{1}{b_\beta^{(m)}} < \infty$ (see Section 3). As shown above, if $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbf{D}_{f,\circ}^1(\mathbb{C})$, then $\sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} b_\beta^{(m)} |\lambda_\beta|^2 < \infty$. Applying Cauchy's inequality, we have

$$\sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} |c_\beta| |\lambda_\beta| \leq \left(\sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} |c_\beta|^2 \frac{1}{b_\beta^{(m)}} \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} b_\beta^{(m)} |\lambda_\beta|^2 \right)^{1/2} < \infty.$$

Note also that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varphi(W_1, \dots, W_n) 1, z_\lambda \rangle &= \left\langle \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} c_\beta \frac{1}{\sqrt{b_\beta^{(m)}}} e_\beta, \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} \sqrt{b_\beta^{(m)}} \overline{\lambda}_\beta e_\beta \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} c_\beta \lambda_\beta = \varphi(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n). \end{aligned}$$

Now, for each $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \varphi(W_1, \dots, W_n)^* z_\lambda, \frac{1}{\sqrt{b_\alpha^{(m)}}} e_\beta \right\rangle &= \langle z_\lambda, \varphi(W_1, \dots, W_n) W_\beta(1) \rangle \\ &= \overline{\lambda_\beta \varphi(\lambda)} = \left\langle \overline{\varphi(\lambda)} z_\lambda, \frac{1}{\sqrt{b_\alpha^{(m)}}} e_\beta \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we deduce that

$$\varphi(W_1, \dots, W_n)^* z_\lambda = \overline{\varphi(\lambda)} z_\lambda. \tag{4.3}$$

One can easily see that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \varphi(W_1,\ldots,W_n)u_\lambda, u_\lambda\rangle &= \frac{1}{\|z_\lambda\|^2}\langle z_\lambda, \varphi(W_1,\ldots,W_n)^* z_\lambda\rangle\\ &= \frac{1}{\|z_\lambda\|^2}\left\langle z_\lambda, \overline{\varphi(\lambda)} z_\lambda\right\rangle = \varphi(\lambda).\end{aligned}$$

The fact that the map Φ_λ is multiplicative and w^*-continuous is now obvious. This completes the proof. □

As in [18], in the particular case when $m = 1$ and $f = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$, one can similarly prove (using Theorem 4.1) the following.

Proposition 4.2. *A map $\varphi : F_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_f^m) \to \mathbb{C}$ is a w^*-continuous multiplicative linear functional if and only if there exists $\lambda \in \mathbf{D}_{f,\circ}^1(\mathbb{C})$ such that*

$$\varphi(A) = \varphi_\lambda(A) := \langle A u_\lambda, u_\lambda\rangle, \quad A \in F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f),$$

where $u_\lambda := \frac{z_\lambda}{\|z_\lambda\|}$.

We recall that the joint right spectrum $\sigma_r(T_1,\ldots,T_n)$ of an n-tuple $(T_1,\ldots,T_n)$ of operators in $B(\mathcal{H})$ is the set of all n-tuples $(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n)$ of complex numbers such that the right ideal of $B(\mathcal{H})$ generated by the operators $\lambda_1 I - T_1, \ldots, \lambda_n I - T_n$ does not contain the identity operator. We recall [46] that $(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n) \notin \sigma_r(T_1,\ldots,T_n)$ if and only if there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\sum\limits_{i=1}^n (\lambda_i I - T_i)(\overline{\lambda}_i I - T_i^*) \geq \delta I$.

Theorem 4.1 implies the following result. Since the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 5.1 from [37], we shall omit it.

Proposition 4.3. *If $(W_1,\ldots,W_n)$ are the weighted left creation operators associated with the noncommutative domain $\mathbf{D}_f^m$, then the right joint spectrum $\sigma_r(W_1,\ldots,W_n)$ coincide with $\mathbf{D}_f^1(\mathbb{C})$.*

Now, we define the symmetric weighted Fock space associated with the noncommutative domain $\mathbf{D}_f^m$. We need a few definitions. For each $\lambda = (\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and each n-tuple $\mathbf{k} := (k_1,\ldots,k_n) \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, where $\mathbb{N}_0 := \{0,1,\ldots\}$, let $\lambda^{\mathbf{k}} := \lambda_1^{k_1}\cdots\lambda_n^{k_n}$. For each $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we denote

$$\Lambda_{\mathbf{k}} := \{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+ :\ \lambda_\alpha = \lambda^{\mathbf{k}} \text{ for all } \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^n\}.$$

For each $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, define the vector

$$w^{\mathbf{k}} := \frac{1}{\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}^{(m)}} \sum_{\alpha\in\Lambda_{\mathbf{k}}} \sqrt{b_\alpha^{(m)}}\, e_\alpha \in F^2(H_n), \qquad \text{where } \gamma_{\mathbf{k}}^{(m)} := \sum_{\alpha\in\Lambda_{\mathbf{k}}} b_\alpha^{(m)}$$

and the coefficients $b_\alpha^{(m)}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$, are defined by relation (1.1). Note that the set $\{w^{\mathbf{k}} :\ \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{N}_0^n\}$ consists of orthogonal vectors in $F^2(H_n)$ and $\|w^{\mathbf{k}}\| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}^{(m)}}}$. We denote by $F_s^2(\mathbf{D}_f^m)$ the closed span of these vectors, and call it the symmetric weighted Fock space associated with the noncommutative domain $\mathbf{D}_f^m$.

If $\mathcal{Q}$ is a set of noncommutative polynomials, we define the subspace $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}}$ of $F^2(H_n)$ by setting

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}} := \overline{\text{span}}\{W_\alpha q(W_1,\ldots,W_n)W_\beta(1) :\ q \in \mathcal{Q}, \alpha,\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+\}.$$

Theorem 4.4. *Let $f = \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_\alpha X_\alpha$ be a positive regular free holomorphic function on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_\rho$, $\rho > 0$, and let $\mathcal{Q}_c$ be the set of all polynomials of the form*

$$Z_i Z_j - Z_j Z_i, \qquad i,j = 1,\ldots,n.$$

Then the following statements hold:

(i) $F_s^2(\mathbf{D}_f^m) = \overline{\text{span}}\{z_\lambda :\ \lambda \in \mathbf{D}_{f,\circ}^1(\mathbb{C})\} = \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{Q}_c} := F^2(H_n) \ominus \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}_c}$.

(ii) *The symmetric weighted Fock space* $F_s^2(\mathbf{D}_f^m)$ *can be identified with the Hilbert space* $H^2(\mathbf{D}_{f,\circ}^1(\mathbb{C}))$ *of all functions* $\varphi : \mathbf{D}_{f,\circ}^1(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathbb{C}$ *which admit a power series representation* $\varphi(\lambda) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{N}_0} c_{\mathbf{k}}\lambda^{\mathbf{k}}$ *with*

$$\|\varphi\|_2 = \sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{N}_0} |c_{\mathbf{k}}|^2 \frac{1}{\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}^{(m)}} < \infty.$$

More precisely, every element $\varphi = \sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{N}_0} c_{\mathbf{k}} w^{\mathbf{k}}$ *in* $F_s^2(\mathbf{D}_f^m)$ *has a functional representation on* $\mathbf{D}_{f,\circ}^1(\mathbb{C})$ *given by*

$$\varphi(\lambda) := \langle \varphi, z_\lambda\rangle = \sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{N}_0} c_{\mathbf{k}}\lambda^{\mathbf{k}}, \quad \lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbf{D}_{f,\circ}^1(\mathbb{C}),$$

and

$$|\varphi(\lambda)| \leq \frac{\|\varphi\|_2}{\sqrt{\left(1 - \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_\alpha |\lambda_\alpha|^2\right)^m}}, \quad \lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbf{D}_{f,\circ}^1(\mathbb{C}).$$

(iii) *The mapping* $K_f : \mathbf{D}_{f,\circ}^1(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathbf{D}_{f,\circ}^1(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathbb{C}$ *defined by*

$$K_f(\mu, \lambda) := \frac{1}{\left(1 - \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_\alpha \mu_\alpha \overline{\lambda}_\alpha\right)^m} \quad \text{for all } \ \lambda, \mu \in \mathbf{D}_{f,\circ}^1(\mathbb{C})$$

is positive definite, and $K_f(\mu, \lambda) = \langle z_\lambda, z_\mu\rangle$.

Proof. First, we prove that

$$\overline{\text{span}}\{z_\lambda : \ \lambda \in \mathbf{D}_{f,\circ}^1(\mathbb{C})\} \subseteq F_s^2(\mathbf{D}_f^m) \subseteq \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{Q}_c}.$$

Notice that the first inclusion is due to that fact that $z_\lambda = \sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{N}_0^n} \overline{\lambda}^{\mathbf{k}}\gamma_{\mathbf{k}} w^{\mathbf{k}}$ for $\lambda \in \mathbf{D}_{f,\circ}^1(\mathbb{C})$. To prove the second inclusion, note that, due to relation (1.5), we have

$$\left\langle w^{\mathbf{k}}, W_\gamma(W_jW_i - W_iW_j)W_\beta(1)\right\rangle = \frac{1}{\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}}\left\langle \sum_{\alpha\in\Lambda_{\mathbf{k}}} \sqrt{b_\alpha^{(m)}}e_\alpha, \frac{1}{\sqrt{b_{\gamma g_j g_i \beta}^{(m)}}} e_{\gamma g_j g_i\beta} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{b_{\gamma g_i g_j\beta}^{(m)}}} e_{\gamma g_i g_j \beta}\right\rangle = 0$$

for any $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$, $i, j = 1, \ldots, n$. This shows that $w^{\mathbf{k}} \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{Q}_c}$ and proves our assertion. To complete the proof of part (i), it is enough to show that

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{Q}_c} \subseteq \overline{\text{span}}\{z_\lambda : \ \lambda \in \mathbf{D}_{f,\circ}^1(\mathbb{C})\}.$$

To this end, assume that there is a vector $x := \sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} c_\beta e_\beta \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{Q}_c}$ and $x \perp z_\lambda$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbf{D}_{f,\circ}^1(\mathbb{C})$. Then, using (4.1), we obtain

$$\left\langle \sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} c_\beta e_\beta, z_\lambda\right\rangle = \sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{N}_0^n}\left(\sum_{\beta\in\Lambda_{\mathbf{k}}} c_\beta\sqrt{b_\beta^{(m)}}\right)\lambda^{\mathbf{k}} = 0$$

for any $\lambda \in \mathbf{D}_{f,\circ}^1(\mathbb{C})$. Since $\mathbf{D}_{f,\circ}^1(\mathbb{C})$ contains an open ball in $\mathbb{C}^n$, we deduce that

$$\sum_{\beta\in\Lambda_{\mathbf{k}}} c_\beta\sqrt{b_\beta^{(m)}} = 0 \quad \text{for all } \ \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{N}_0^n. \tag{4.4}$$

Fix $\beta_0 \in \Lambda_{\mathbf{k}}$ and let $\beta \in \Lambda_{\mathbf{k}}$ be such that β is obtained from β_0 by transposing just two generators. So we can assume that $\beta_0 = \gamma g_j g_i\omega$ and $\beta = \gamma g_i g_j \omega$ for some $\gamma, \omega \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ and $i \neq j$, $i, j = 1, \ldots, n$. Since $x \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{Q}_c} = F^2(H_n) \ominus \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}_c}$, we must have

$$\langle x, W_\gamma(W_jW_i - W_iW_j)W_\omega(1)\rangle = 0,$$

which implies $\frac{c_{\beta_0}}{\sqrt{b_{\beta_0}^{(m)}}} = \frac{c_\beta}{\sqrt{b_\beta^{(m)}}}$. Since any element $\gamma \in \Lambda_{\mathbf{k}}$ can be obtained from β_0 by successive transpositions, repeating the above argument, we deduce that

$$\frac{c_{\beta_0}}{\sqrt{b_{\beta_0}^{(m)}}} = \frac{c_\gamma}{\sqrt{b_\gamma^{(m)}}} \quad \text{for all } \ \gamma \in \Lambda_{\mathbf{k}}.$$

Setting $t := \frac{c_{\beta_0}}{\sqrt{b_{\beta_0}^{(m)}}}$, we have $c_\gamma = t\sqrt{b_\gamma^{(m)}}$, $\gamma \in \Lambda_{\mathbf{k}}$, and relation (4.4) implies $t = 0$ (remember that $b_\beta > 0$). Therefore, $c_\gamma = 0$ for any $\gamma \in \Lambda_{\mathbf{k}}$ and $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, so $x = 0$. Consequently, we have $\overline{\text{span}}\{z_\lambda : \lambda \in \mathbf{D}_{f,\circ}^1(\mathbb{C})\} = \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{Q}_c}$.

Now, let us prove part (ii) of the theorem. Since the set $\{w^{\mathbf{k}} : \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{N}_0^n\}$ consists of orthogonal vectors in $F^2(H_n)$ with $\|w^{\mathbf{k}}\| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}^{(m)}}}$, and $F_s^2(\mathbf{D}_f^m)$ the closed span of these vectors, any $\varphi \in F_s^2(\mathbf{D}_f^m)$ has a unique representation $\varphi = \sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{N}_0} c_{\mathbf{k}} w^{\mathbf{k}}$ with $\|\varphi\|_2 = \sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{N}_0} |c_{\mathbf{k}}|^2 \frac{1}{\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}^{(m)}} < \infty$. Note that

$$\left\langle w^{\mathbf{k}}, z_\lambda \right\rangle = \frac{1}{\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}} \left\langle \sum_{\beta\in\Lambda_{\mathbf{k}}} \sqrt{b_\beta^{(m)}} e_\beta, z_\lambda \right\rangle = \frac{1}{\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}} \sum_{\beta\in\Lambda_{\mathbf{k}}} b_\beta^{(m)} \lambda_\beta = \lambda^{\mathbf{k}}$$

for any $\lambda \in \mathbf{D}_{f,\circ}^1(\mathbb{C})$ and $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$. Hence, every element $\varphi = \sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{N}_0} c_{\mathbf{k}} w^{\mathbf{k}}$ in $F_s^2(\mathbf{D}_f^m)$ has a functional representation on $\mathbf{D}_{f,\circ}^1(\mathbb{C})$ given by

$$\varphi(\lambda) := \langle \varphi, z_\lambda \rangle = \sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{N}_0} c_{\mathbf{k}} \lambda^{\mathbf{k}}, \quad \lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbf{D}_{f,\circ}^1(\mathbb{C}),$$

and, due to (4.2),

$$|\varphi(\lambda)| \leq \|\varphi\|_2 \|z_\lambda\| = \frac{\|\varphi\|_2}{\sqrt{\left(1 - \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_\alpha |\lambda_\alpha|^2\right)^m}}.$$

The identification of $F_s^2(\mathbf{D}_f^m)$ with $H^2(\mathbf{D}_{f,\circ}^1(\mathbb{C}))$ is now clear.

As in the proof of Theorem 4.1, we deduce that

$$\left(I - \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_{\tilde{\alpha}} \overline{\lambda}_{\tilde{\alpha}} \Lambda_\alpha\right)^{-m} = \sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} b_{\tilde{\beta}}^{(m)} \overline{\lambda}_{\tilde{\beta}} \Lambda_\beta$$

if $(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbf{D}_{f,\circ}^1(\mathbb{C})$. Similarly, if $(\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n) \in \mathbf{D}_{f,\circ}^1(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbf{D}_{f,\circ}^1(\mathbb{C}) \cap \mathbf{D}_{\tilde{f},\circ}^1(\mathbb{C})$, we deduce that

$$\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} b_\beta \mu_\beta \overline{\lambda}_\beta = \left(I - \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_\alpha \mu_\alpha \overline{\lambda}_\alpha\right)^{-m}.$$

Since

$$K_f(\mu, \lambda) = \sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} b_\beta^{(m)} \mu_\beta \overline{\lambda}_\beta = \langle z_\lambda, z_\mu \rangle,$$

the result in part (iii) follows. The proof is complete. $\square$

Let J_c be the w^*-closed two-sided ideal of the Hardy algebra $F_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_f^m)$ generated by the commutators

$$W_i W_j - W_j W_i, \qquad i, j = 1, \ldots, n.$$

Since $W_i W_j - W_j W_i \in J_c$ and every permutation of k objects is a product of transpositions, it is clear that $W_\alpha W_\beta - W_\beta W_\alpha \in J_c$ for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$. Consequently, $W_\gamma(W_\alpha W_\beta - W_\beta W_\alpha)W_\omega \in J_c$ for any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \omega \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$. Since the polynomials in $W_1, \ldots, W_n$ are w^* dense in $F_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_f^m)$, we deduce that J_c coincides with the w^*-closure of the commutator ideal of $F_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_f^m)$.

Define the operators on $F_s^2(\mathbf{D}_f^m)$ by

$$L_i := P_{F_s^2(\mathcal{D}_f)} W_i|_{F_s^2(\mathcal{D}_f)}, \qquad i = 1, \ldots, n,$$

where $W_1, \ldots, W_n$ are the weighted left creation operators associated with $\mathbf{D}_f^m$. Let $\varphi(W_1, \ldots, W_n) \in F_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_f^m)$ and denote $M_\varphi := P_{F_s^2(\mathbf{D}_f^m)} \varphi(W_1, \ldots, W_n)|_{F_s^2(\mathbf{D}_f^m)}$. According to Theorem 4.1 and Theorem

4.4, the vector z_λ is in $F_s^2(\mathbf{D}_f^m)$ for $\lambda \in \mathbf{D}_{f,\circ}^1(\mathbb{C})$, and $\varphi(W_1,\ldots,W_n)^* z_\lambda = \overline{\varphi(\lambda)} z_\lambda$. Consequently, we have

$$\begin{aligned}[M_\varphi \psi](\lambda) &= \langle M_\varphi \psi, z_\lambda\rangle \\ &= \langle \varphi(W_1,\ldots,W_n)\psi, z_\lambda\rangle \\ &= \langle \psi, \varphi(W_1,\ldots,W_n)^* z_\lambda\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \psi, \overline{\varphi(\lambda)} z_\lambda\right\rangle = \varphi(\lambda)\psi(\lambda)\end{aligned}$$

for any $\psi \in F_s^2(\mathbf{D}_f^m)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbf{D}_{f,\circ}^1(\mathbb{C})$. Therefore, the operators in $P_{F_s^2(\mathbf{D}_f^m)} F_n^\infty(\mathbf{D}_f^m)|_{F_s^2(\mathbf{D}_f^m)}$ are "analytic" multipliers of $F_s^2(\mathbf{D}_f^m)$. Moreover,

$$\|M_\varphi\| = \sup\{\|\varphi f\|_2 : \ f \in F_s^2(\mathbf{D}_f^m), \ \|f\| \le 1\}.$$

In particular, for each $i = 1,\ldots,n$, L_i is is the multiplier M_{λ_i} by the coordinate function. Let $H^\infty(\mathbf{D}_{f,\circ}^1(\mathbb{C}))$ be the algebra of all multipliers of the Hilbert space $H^2(\mathbf{D}_{f,\circ}^1(\mathbb{C}))$. In what follows, we show that the algebra $H^\infty(\mathbf{D}_{f,\circ}^1(\mathbb{C}))$ is reflexive.

First, we need to recall some definitions. If $A \in B(\mathcal{H})$ then the set of all invariant subspaces of A is denoted by Lat A. For any $\mathcal{U} \subset B(\mathcal{H})$ we define

$$\text{Lat}\,\mathcal{U} = \bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{U}} \text{Lat } A.$$

If $\mathcal{S}$ is any collection of subspaces of $\mathcal{H}$, then we define Alg $\mathcal{S}$ by setting

$$\text{Alg}\,\mathcal{S} := \{A \in B(\mathcal{H}) : \ \mathcal{S} \subset \text{Lat } A\}.$$

We recall that the algebra $\mathcal{U} \subset B(\mathcal{H})$ is reflexive if $\mathcal{U} = \text{Alg Lat}\,\mathcal{U}$.

Theorem 4.5. *The algebra $H^\infty(\mathbf{D}_{f,\circ}^1(\mathbb{C}))$ is reflexive and coincides with the weakly closed algebra generated by the operators $L_1,\ldots,L_n$ and the identity.*

Proof. First we show that $H^\infty(\mathbf{D}_{f,\circ}^1(\mathbb{C}))$ is included in the weakly closed algebra generated by the operators $L_1,\ldots,L_n$ and the identity. Suppose that $g = \sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{N}_0} c_{\mathbf{k}} w^{\mathbf{k}}$ is a bounded multiplier, i.e., $M_g \in B(F_s^2(\mathcal{D}_f))$. As in Section 3, using Cesaro means, one can find a sequence of polynomials $p_m = \sum c_{\mathbf{k}}^{(m)} w^{\mathbf{k}}$ such that M_{p_m} converges to M_g in the strong operator topology and, consequently, in the WOT-topology. Since M_{p_m} is a polynomial in $L_1,\ldots,L_n$ and the identity, our assertion follows.

Now, let $X \in B(F_s^2(\mathbf{D}_f^m))$ be an operator that leaves invariant all the invariant subspaces under each operator $L_1,\ldots,L_n$. Due to Theorem 4.1, we have $L_i^* z_\lambda = \overline{\lambda}_i z_\lambda$ for any $\lambda \in \mathbf{D}_{f,\circ}^1(\mathbb{C})$ and $i = 1,\ldots,n$. Since X^* leaves invariant all the invariant subspaces under $L_1^*,\ldots,L_n^*$, the vector z_λ must be an eigenvector for X^*. Consequently, there is a function $\varphi : \mathbf{D}_{f,\circ}^1(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $X^* z_\lambda = \overline{\varphi(\lambda)} z_\lambda$ for any $\lambda \in \mathbf{D}_{f,\circ}^1(\mathbb{C})$. Notice that, if $f \in F_s^2(\mathbf{D}_f^m)$, then, due to Theorem 4.4, Xf has the functional representation

$$(Xf)(\lambda) = \langle Xf, z_\lambda\rangle = \langle f, X^* z_\lambda\rangle = \varphi(\lambda) f(\lambda) \quad \text{ for all } \ \lambda \in \mathbf{D}_{f,\circ}^1(\mathbb{C}).$$

In particular, if $f = 1$, then the the functional representation of $X(1)$ coincide with φ. Consequently, φ admits a power series representation on $\mathbf{D}_{f,\circ}^1(\mathbb{C})$ and can be identified with $X(1) \in F_s^2(\mathbf{D}_f^m)$. Moreover, the equality above shows that $\varphi f \in H^2(\mathbf{D}_{f,\circ}^1(\mathbb{C}))$ for any $f \in F_s^2(\mathbf{D}_f^m)$. This shows that φ is in $H^\infty(\mathbf{D}_{f,\circ}^1(\mathbb{C}))$ and completes the proof of reflexivity. Hence, $H^\infty(\mathbf{D}_{f,\circ}^1(\mathbb{C}))$ is a WOT-closed algebra containing $L_1,\ldots,L_n$ and the identity. This implies the second part of the theorem. $\square$

5. Noncommutative Varieties, Berezin transforms, and Universal Models

In this section, we consider noncommutative varieties $\mathcal{V}^m_{f,\mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{H}) \subset \mathbf{D}^m_f(\mathcal{H})$ determined by sets $\mathcal{Q}$ of noncommutative polynomials, and associate with each such a variety a universal model $(B_1, \ldots, B_n) \in \mathcal{V}^m_{f,\mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q})$, where $\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q}$ is an appropriate subspace of the full Fock space. We introduce a *constrained noncommutative Berezin transform* and use it to obtain analogues of the results of Section 2, for subvarieties. We also show that, under a natural condition, the C^*-algebra $C^*(B_1, \ldots, B_n)$ is irreducible and all the compacts operators in $B(\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q})$ are contained in the operator space $\overline{\text{span}}\{B_\alpha B_\beta^* : \ \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+\}$. These results are vital for the development of a model theory on noncommutative varieties.

Let $f := \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_\alpha X_\alpha$ be a positive regular free holomorphic function on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_\rho$, $\rho > 0$, and let $W_1, \ldots, W_n$ be the weighted left creation operators associated with the noncommutative domain $\mathbf{D}^m_f$. Let $\mathcal{Q}$ be a family of noncommutative polynomials and define the noncommutative variety

$$\mathcal{V}^m_{f,\mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{H}) := \left\{(X_1, \ldots, X_n) \in \mathbf{D}^m_f(\mathcal{H}) : \ q(X_1, \ldots, X_n) = 0 \quad \text{for any} \quad q \in \mathcal{Q}\right\}.$$

We associate with $\mathcal{V}^m_{f,\mathcal{Q}}$ the the operators $B_1, \ldots, B_n$ defined as follows. Consider the subspaces

$$\mathcal{M}_\mathcal{Q} := \overline{\text{span}}\{W_\alpha q(W_1, \ldots, W_n) W_\beta(1) : \ q \in \mathcal{Q}, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+\} \tag{5.1}$$

and $\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q} := F^2(H_n) \ominus \mathcal{M}_\mathcal{Q}$. We assume that $\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q} \neq \{0\}$. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q}$ is invariant under each operator $W_1^*, \ldots, W_n^*$ and $\Lambda_1^*, \ldots, \Lambda_n^*$. Define $B_i := P_{\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q}} W_i|_{\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q}}$ and $C_i := P_{\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q}} \Lambda_i |\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$, where $P_{\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q}}$ is the orthogonal projection of $F^2(H_n)$ onto $\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q}$. Notice that $q(B_1, \ldots, B_n) = 0$ for any $q \in \mathcal{Q}$. By taking the compression to the subspace $\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q}$, in Theorem 1.3, we obtain similar results, where the universal model $(W_1, \ldots, W_n)$ is replaced by the n-tuple $(B_1, \ldots, B_n)$. In particular, we deduce that $(B_1, \ldots, B_n) \in \mathcal{V}^m_{f,\mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q})$ is a pure n-tuple of operators which will play the role of universal model for the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}^m_{f,\mathcal{Q}}$.

For each n-tuple $T := (T_1, \ldots, T_n) \in \mathcal{V}^m_{f,\mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{H})$ with $r_f(T_1, \ldots, T_n) < 1$, we introduce the *constrained noncommutative Berezin transform* at T as the map $\mathbf{B}^c_T : B(\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q}) \to B(\mathcal{H})$ defined by

(5.2)

$$\langle \mathbf{B}^c_T[g]x, y\rangle := \left\langle \left(I - \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} \overline{a}_{\tilde{\alpha}} C_\alpha^* \otimes T_{\tilde{\alpha}}\right)^{-m} (g \otimes \Delta^2_{T,m,f}) \left(I - \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_{\tilde{\alpha}} C_\alpha \otimes T^*_{\tilde{\alpha}}\right)^{-m} (1 \otimes x), 1 \otimes y \right\rangle$$

where $\Delta_{T,m,f} := [(id - \Phi_{f,T})^m(I)]^{1/2}$ and $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$. We define the *extended constrained noncommutative Berezin transform* $\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}^c_T$ at any $T \in \mathcal{V}^m_{f,\mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{H})$ by setting

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}^c_T[g] := {K^{(m)}_{f,T,\mathcal{Q}}}^* (g \otimes I_\mathcal{H}) K^{(m)}_{f,T,\mathcal{Q}}, \quad g \in B(\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q}), \tag{5.3}$$

where the *constrained noncommutative Berezin kernel* associated with the n-tuple $T \in \mathcal{V}^m_{f,\mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{H})$ is the bounded operator $K^{(m)}_{f,T,\mathcal{Q}} : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q} \otimes \overline{\Delta_{f,m,T}\mathcal{H}}$ defined by

$$K^{(m)}_{f,T,\mathcal{Q}} := (P_{\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q}} \otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_{f,m,T}\mathcal{H}}}) K^{(m)}_{f,T},$$

where $K^{(m)}_{f,T}$ is the Berezin kernel associated with $T \in \mathbf{D}^m_f(\mathcal{H})$.

Using the results from Section 2 (see Proposition 2.4), one can show that the constrained nocommutative Berezin transforms $\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}^c_T$ and $\mathbf{B}^c_T$ coincide for any n-tuple of operators $T := (T_1, \ldots, T_n) \in \mathcal{V}^m_{f,\mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{H})$ with joint spectral radius $r_f(T_1, \ldots, T_n) < 1$.

Theorem 5.1. *Let f be a positive regular free holomorphic function on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_\rho$, $\rho > 0$, and let $\mathcal{Q}$ be a family of noncommutative polynomials such that $\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q} \neq \{0\}$. If $T := (T_1, \ldots, T_n)$ is a pure n-tuple of operators in the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}^m_{f,\mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{H})$, then the restriction of the constrained noncommutative Berezin transform $\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}^c_T$ to $\overline{\text{span}}\{B_\alpha B_\beta^* : \ \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+\}$ is a unital completely contractive linear map such that*

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}^c_T(B_\alpha B_\beta^*) = T_\alpha T_\beta^*, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+.$$

Proof. Using Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\left\langle K_{f,T}^{(m)}x, W_\alpha q(W_1,\ldots,W_n)W_\beta(1)\otimes y\right\rangle = \left\langle x, T_\alpha q(T_1,\ldots,T_n)T_\beta {K_{f,T}^{(m)}}^*(1\otimes y\right\rangle = 0$$

for any $x\in\mathcal{H}$, $y\in\overline{\Delta_{f,m,T}\mathcal{H}}$, and $q\in\mathcal{Q}$. Hence, we deduce that

$$\operatorname{range} K_{f,T}^{(m)} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q}\otimes\overline{\Delta_{f,m,T}\mathcal{H}}. \tag{5.4}$$

Due to the definition of the constrained Berezin kernel associated with the n-tuple $T\in\mathcal{V}_{f,\mathcal{Q}}^m(\mathcal{H})$, and using Lemma 2.3 and relation (5.4), we obtain

$$K_{f,T,\mathcal{Q}}^{(m)}T_\alpha^* = (B_\alpha^*\otimes I_\mathcal{H})K_{f,T,\mathcal{Q}}^{(m)}, \quad \alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+. \tag{5.5}$$

Since (5.4) holds and $K_{f,T}^{(m)}$ is an isometry, so is $K_{f,T,\mathcal{Q}}^{(m)}$. Consequently, using relation 5.5, we deduce that

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_T^c(B_\alpha B_\beta^*) = {K_{f,T,\mathcal{Q}}^{(m)}}^*(B_\alpha B_\beta^*\otimes I_\mathcal{H})K_{f,T,\mathcal{Q}}^{(m)} = T_\alpha T_\beta^*, \quad \alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+.$$

Now, one can easily deduce that $\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_T^c$ is a unital completely contractive linear map on $\overline{\operatorname{span}}\{B_\alpha B_\beta^*:\ \alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+\}$. The proof is complete. □

We recall that an n-tuple of operators $T:=(T_1,\ldots,T_n)\in\mathcal{V}_{f,\mathcal{Q}}^m(\mathcal{H})$ has the radial property with respect to the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_{f,\mathcal{Q}}^m(\mathcal{H})$ if there is $\delta\in(0,1)$ such that $rT:=(rT_1,\ldots,rT_n)\in\mathcal{V}_{f,\mathcal{Q}}^m(\mathcal{H})$ for any $r\in(\delta,1)$.

Theorem 5.2. *Let f be a positive regular free holomorphic function on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_\rho$, $\rho>0$, and let $\mathcal{Q}$ be a set of homogenous polynomials. Let $T:=(T_1,\ldots,T_n)$ be an n-tuple of operators with the radial property in the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_{f,\mathcal{Q}}^m(\mathcal{H})$ and let $\mathcal{S}:=\overline{\operatorname{span}}\{B_\alpha B_\beta^*;\ \alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+\}$. Then there is a unital completely contractive linear map $\Psi_{f,T,\mathcal{Q}}:\mathcal{S}\to B(\mathcal{H})$ such that*

$$\Psi_{f,T,\mathcal{Q}}(g) = \lim_{r\to1}\mathbf{B}_{rT}^c[g], \qquad g\in\mathcal{S}, \tag{5.6}$$

where the limit exists in the norm topology of $B(\mathcal{H})$, and $\Psi_{f,T,\mathcal{Q}}(B_\alpha B_\beta^*) = T_\alpha T_\beta^*$, $\alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+$. If, in addition, T is a pure n-tuple of operators, then

$$\lim_{r\to1}\mathbf{B}_{rT}^c[g] = \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_T^c[g], \qquad g\in\mathcal{S},$$

where the limit exists in the norm topology of $B(\mathcal{H})$.

Proof. Let $\delta\in(0,1)$ be such that $rT:=(rT_1,\ldots,rT_n)\in\mathbf{D}_f^m(\mathcal{H})$ for any $r\in(\delta,1)$. Since $\mathcal{Q}$ consists of homogenous polynomials we also have $rT\in\mathcal{V}_{f,\mathcal{Q}}^m(\mathcal{H})$. Moreover, we can show, as in the proof of Theorem 5.1, that $\operatorname{range} K_{f,rT}^{(m)}\subseteq\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q}\otimes\mathcal{H}$ for any $r\in(\delta,1)$, where $K_{f,rT}^{(m)}$ is the Berezin kernel associated with $rT\in\mathbf{D}_f^m(\mathcal{H})$. Moreover,

$$K_{f,rT,\mathcal{Q}}^{(m)}r^{|\alpha|}T_\alpha^* = (B_\alpha^*\otimes I_\mathcal{H})K_{f,rT,\mathcal{Q}}^{(m)}, \quad \alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+,$$

where $K_{f,rT,\mathcal{Q}}^{(m)}:=(P_{\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q}}\otimes I_\mathcal{H})K_{f,rT}^{(m)}$ is the constrained Berezin kernel and $B_i:=P_{\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q}}W_i|_{\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q}}$, $i=1,\ldots,n$. Since rT is pure, $K_{f,rT,\mathcal{Q}}^{(m)}$ is an isometry. Consequently, as in the proof of Theorem 2.7, we deduce that there is a unique unital completely contractive linear map $\Psi_{p,T,\mathcal{Q}}:\mathcal{S}\to B(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\Psi_{p,T,\mathcal{Q}}(B_\alpha B_\beta^*) = T_\alpha T_\beta^*$, $\alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+$. The rest of the proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.7. We shall omit it. □

Assume now that p is a positive regular noncommutative polynomial and let $\mathbf{D}_p^m$ be the noncommutative domain it generates. The next result will play an important role in Section 6, where we develop a model theory on noncommutative subvarieties of $\mathbf{D}_p^m$.

Theorem 5.3. *Let $\mathcal{Q}$ be a set of noncommutative polynomials such that $1\in\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q}$, and let $(B_1,\ldots,B_n)$ be the universal model associated with the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_{p,\mathcal{Q}}^m$. Then all the compact operators in $B(\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q})$ are contained in the operator space*

$$\overline{\operatorname{span}}\{B_\alpha B_\beta^*:\ \alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+\}.$$

Moreover, the C^*-algebra $C^*(B_1,\ldots,B_n)$ is irreducible.

Proof. Since $1 \in \mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q}$ and $\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q}$ is an invariant subspace W_i^*, $i = 1, \dots, n$, we use Theorem 1.3 to obtain

$$(id - \Phi_{p,B})^m(I_{\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q}}) = P_{\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q}}\left[(id - \Phi_{p,W})^m(I_{F^2(H_n)})\right]|_{\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q}} = P_{\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q}} P_\mathbb{C}|_{\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q}} = P_\mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q}},$$

where $P_\mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q}}$ is the orthogonal projection of $\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q}$ onto $\mathbb{C}$. Fix

$$g(W_1, \dots, W_n) := \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} d_\alpha W_\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \xi := \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} c_\beta e_\beta \in \mathcal{N}_J \subset F^2(H_n),$$

and note that

$$P_\mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q}} g(B_1, \dots, B_n)^* \xi = \langle \xi, g(B_1, \dots, B_n)(1) \rangle .$$

Consequently, we have

$$q(B_1, \dots, B_n) P_\mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{N}_J} g(B_1, \dots, B_n)^* \xi = \langle \xi, g(B_1, \dots, B_n)(1) \rangle \, q(B_1, \dots, B_n)(1) \tag{5.7}$$

for any polynomial $q(B_1, \dots, B_n)$. Hence, we deduce that the operator $q(B_1, \dots, B_n) P_\mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{N}_J} g(B_1, \dots, B_n)^*$ has rank one and, since $P_\mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q}} = (id - \Phi_{p,B})^m(I_{\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q}})$, it is in the operator space $\overline{\text{span}}\{B_\alpha B_\beta^* : \ \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+\}$. On the other hand, due to the fact that the set of all vectors of the form $\sum\limits_{|\alpha| \leq m} d_\alpha B_\alpha(1)$ with $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $d_\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, is dense in $\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q}$, relation (5.7) implies that all compact operators in $B(\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q})$ are included in the operator space $\overline{\text{span}}\{B_\alpha B_\beta^* : \ \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+\}$.

To prove the last part of this theorem, let $\mathcal{M} \neq \{0\}$ be a subspace of $\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q} \subseteq F^2(H_n)$, which is jointly reducing for each operator B_i, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}$, $\varphi \neq 0$, and assume that $\varphi = c_0 + \sum\limits_{|\alpha| \geq 1} c_\alpha e_\alpha$. If c_β is a nonzero coefficient of φ, then $P_\mathbb{C} B_\beta^* \varphi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{b_\beta^{(m)}}} c_\beta$. Indeed, since $1 \in \mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q}$, one can use relation (1.5) to deduce that

$$\left\langle P_\mathbb{C} B_\beta^* \varphi, 1 \right\rangle = \left\langle P_{\mathcal{N}_J} W_\beta^* \varphi, 1 \right\rangle = \left\langle W_\beta^* \varphi, 1 \right\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{b_\beta^{(m)}}} c_\beta.$$

Since $\left\langle P_\mathbb{C} B_\beta^* \varphi, e_\gamma \right\rangle = 0$ for any $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ with $|\gamma| \geq 1$, our assertion follows. On the other hand, since $P_\mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q}} = (id - \Phi_{p,B})^m(I_{\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q}})$ and $\mathcal{M}$ is reducing for $B_1, \dots, B_n$, we deduce that $c_\beta \in \mathcal{M}$, so $1 \in \mathcal{M}$. Using once again that $\mathcal{M}$ is invariant under the operators $B_1, \dots, B_n$, we have $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$. On the other hand, since $\mathcal{E}$ is dense in $\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q}$, we deduce that $\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathcal{M}$. Therefore $\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{M}$. This completes the proof. $\square$

We say that two n-tuples of operators $(T_1, \dots, T_n)$, $T_i \in B(\mathcal{H})$, and $(T_1', \dots, T_n')$, $T_i' \in B(\mathcal{H}')$, are unitarily equivalent if there exists a unitary operator $U : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}'$ such that

$$T_i = U^* T_i' U \quad \text{for any} \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

If $(B_1, \dots, B_n)$ is the universal model associated with the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_{p,\mathcal{Q}}^m$, then the n-tuple $(B_1 \otimes I_\mathcal{H}, \dots, B_n \otimes I_\mathcal{H})$ is called constrained weighted shift with multiplicity $\dim \mathcal{H}$. Using Theorem 5.3, one can easily prove that two constrained weighted shifts associated with the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_{p,\mathcal{Q}}^m$ are unitarily equivalent if and only if their multiplicities are equal.

We remark that all the results of this section are true in the commutative case, i.e., when

$$\mathcal{Q}_c := \{Z_i Z_j - Z_j Z_i : \ i, j = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

According to the results of Section 4 (see Theorem 4.4 and the remarks preceding Theorem 4.5), the space $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{Q}_c}$ coincides with the symmetric weighted Fock space $F_s^2(\mathbf{D}_f^m)$, which can be identified with the Hilbert space $H^2(\mathbf{D}_{f,\circ}^1(\mathbb{C}))$. Moreover, under this identification, the operators B_i, $i = 1, \dots, n$, become the multipliers M_{λ_i} by the coordinate functions on the Hilbert space $H^2(\mathbf{D}_{f,\circ}^1(\mathbb{C}))$.

6. Model theory on Noncommutative Varieties

In this section, we obtain dilation and model theorems for the elements of the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}^m_{f,\mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{H}) \subset \mathbf{D}^m_f(\mathcal{H})$ generated by a set $\mathcal{Q}$ of noncommutative polynomials.

We recall that $\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q} := F^2(H_n) \ominus \mathcal{M}_\mathcal{Q}$, where the subspace $\mathcal{M}_\mathcal{Q}$ is defined by (5.1). We keep the notations of the previous sections. Our first dilation result on noncommutative varieties is the following.

Theorem 6.1. *Let f be a positive regular free holomorphic function on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_\rho$, $\rho > 0$, and let $\mathcal{Q}$ be a family of noncommutative polynomials such that $\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q} \neq \{0\}$. If $T := (T_1, \dots, T_n)$ is an n-tuple of operators in the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}^m_{f,\mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{H})$, then there exists a Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}$ and and n-tuple $(U_1 \dots, U_n) \in \mathcal{V}^m_{f,\mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{K})$ with $\Phi_{f,U}(I_\mathcal{K}) = I_\mathcal{K}$ and such that*

(i) *$\mathcal{H}$ can be identified with a co-invariant subspace of $\widetilde{\mathcal{K}} := (\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q} \otimes \overline{\Delta_{f,m,T}\mathcal{H}}) \oplus \mathcal{K}$ under the operators*

$$V_i := \begin{bmatrix} B_i \otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_{f,m,T}\mathcal{H}}} & 0 \\ 0 & U_i \end{bmatrix}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

where $\Delta_{f,m,T} := [(id - \Phi_{f,T})^m (I)]^{1/2}$;

(ii) *$T_i^* = V_i^* | \mathcal{H}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.*

Moreover, $\mathcal{K} = \{0\}$ if and only if $(T_1, \dots, T_n)$ is pure n-tuple of operators in $\mathcal{V}^m_{f,\mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{H})$, i.e., $\Phi^k_{f,T}(I) \to 0$ strongly, as $k \to 0$.

Proof. We recall that the operator $Q_{f,T} := \text{SOT-}\lim_{k\to\infty} \Phi^k_{f,T}(I)$ is well-defined. We use it to define

$$Y : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{K} := \overline{Q^{1/2}_{f,T}\mathcal{H}} \quad \text{by} \quad Yh := Q^{1/2}_{f,T} h, \ h \in \mathcal{H}.$$

For each $i = 1, \dots, n$, let $L_i : Q^{1/2}_{f,T}\mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{K}$ be given by

$$L_i Y h := Y T_i^* h, \quad h \in \mathcal{H}. \tag{6.1}$$

Note that L_i, $i = 1, \dots, n$, are well-defined due to the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} \|L_i Y h\|^2 &= \langle T_i Q_{f,T} T_i^* h, h\rangle \leq \frac{1}{a_{g_i}} \langle \Phi_{f,T}(Q_{f,T}) h, h\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{a_{g_i}} \|Q^{1/2}_{f,T} h\|^2 = \frac{1}{a_{g_i}} \|Yh\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since f is positive regular free holomorphic function, we have $a_{g_i} \neq 0$ for any $i = 1, \dots, n$. Consequently, L_i can be extended to a bounded operator on $\mathcal{K}$, which will also be denoted by L_i. Now, setting $U_i := L_i^*$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, relation (6.1) implies

$$Y^* U_i = T_i Y^*, \quad i = 1, \dots, n. \tag{6.2}$$

Using this relation and the fact that $\Phi_{f,T}(Q_{f,T}) = Q_{f,T}$, we deduce that

$$Y^* \Phi_{f,U}(I_\mathcal{K}) Y = \Phi_{f,T}(YY^*) = YY^*.$$

Hence,

$$\langle \Phi_{f,U}(I_\mathcal{K}) Yh, Yh\rangle = \langle Yh, Yh\rangle, \quad h \in \mathcal{H},$$

which implies $\Phi_{f,U}(I_\mathcal{K}) = I_\mathcal{K}$. Now, using relation (6.2), we obtain

$$Y^* q(U_1, \dots, U_n) = q(T_1, \dots, T_n) Y^* = 0, \quad q \in \mathcal{Q}.$$

Since Y^* is injective on $\mathcal{K} = \overline{Y\mathcal{H}}$, we have $q(U_1, \dots, U_n) = 0$ for any $q \in \mathcal{Q}$. Let $V : \mathcal{H} \to [\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q} \otimes \mathcal{H}] \oplus \mathcal{K}$ be defined by

$$V := \begin{bmatrix} K^{(m)}_{f,T,\mathcal{Q}} \\ Y \end{bmatrix}.$$

Notice that V is an isometry. Indeed, due to relations (2.10) and (5.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Vh\|^2 &= \|K^{(m)}_{f,T,\mathcal{Q}} h\|^2 + \|Yh\|^2 \\ &= \|h\|^2 - \text{SOT-}\lim_{k\to\infty} \left\langle \Phi^k_{f,T}(I) h, h\right\rangle + \|Yh\|^2 \ = \|h\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

for any $h \in \mathcal{H}$. Now, using relations (5.5), (6.1), and (6.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} VT_i^* h &= K_{f,T,\mathcal{Q}}^{(m)} T_i^* h \oplus Y T_i^* h \\ &= (B_i^* \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}) K_{f,T,\mathcal{Q}}^{(m)} h \oplus U_i^* Y h \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} B_i^* \otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_{f,m,T}\mathcal{H}}} & 0 \\ 0 & U_i^* \end{bmatrix} Vh \end{aligned}$$

for any $h \in \mathcal{H}$ and $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Identifying $\mathcal{H}$ with $V\mathcal{H}$ we complete the proof of (i) and (ii). The last part of the theorem is obvious. □

We need the following result concerning power bounded positive linear maps on $B(\mathcal{H})$.

Lemma 6.2. *Let $\varphi : B(\mathcal{H}) \to B(\mathcal{H})$ be a power bounded positive linear map and let $D \in B(\mathcal{H})$be a positive operator such that $\varphi(D) \leq D$. If $m \geq 1$, then*

$$(id - \varphi)^m(D) = 0 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \varphi(D) = D.$$

In particular, if φ is a positive linear map such that $\varphi(I) \leq I$ and $(id - \varphi)^m(I) = 0$, then $\varphi(I) = I$.

Proof. According to Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\sum_{p=0}^{q} \binom{p+m-1}{m-1} \varphi^p (id - \varphi)^m(D) = D - \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \binom{q+j}{j} \varphi^{q+1} (id - \varphi)^j(D)$$

for any $q \in \mathbb{N}$. Consequently, if $(id - \varphi)^m(D) = 0$, then

$$D = \lim_{q \to \infty} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \binom{q+j}{j} \varphi^{q+1} (id - \varphi)^j(D).$$

Using Lemma 2.2, we deduce that $D = \lim_{q\to\infty} \varphi^q(D)$. Since φ is a positive linear map and $\varphi(D) \leq D$, we have

$$D = \lim_{q \to \infty} \varphi^q(D) \leq \ldots \leq \varphi^2(D) \leq \varphi(D) \leq D.$$

Hence, we deduce that $\varphi(D) = D$. The converse is obvious. □

Let $C^*(\Gamma)$ be the C^*-algebra generated by a set of operators $\Gamma \subset B(\mathcal{K})$ and the identity. A subspace $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{K}$ is called $*$-cyclic for Γ if $\mathcal{K} = \overline{\text{span}}\{Xh, X \in C^*(\Gamma), h \in \mathcal{H}\}$. The main result of this section is the following model theorem for the elements of a noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_{p,\mathcal{Q}}^m(\mathcal{H})$.

Theorem 6.3. *Let p be a positive regular noncommutative polynomial and let $\mathcal{Q}$ be a set of homogenous polynomials. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a separable Hilbert space, and $T := (T_1, \ldots, T_n)$ be an n-tuple of operators in the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_{p,\mathcal{Q}}^m(\mathcal{H})$ with the radial property, i.e.,*

$$rT := (rT_1, \ldots, rT_n) \in \mathcal{V}_{p,\mathcal{Q}}^m(\mathcal{H}) \quad \text{for any } \ r \in (\delta, 1)$$

and some $\delta \in (0,1)$.

Then there exists a $*$-representation $\pi : C^*(B_1, \ldots, B_n) \to B(\mathcal{K}_\pi)$ on a separable Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}_\pi$, which annihilates the compact operators and

$$\Phi_{p,\pi(B)}(I_{\mathcal{K}_\pi}) = I_{\mathcal{K}_\pi},$$

such that

(i) *$\mathcal{H}$ can be identified with a $*$-cyclic co-invariant subspace of $\tilde{\mathcal{K}} := (\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{Q}} \otimes \overline{\Delta_{p,m,T}\mathcal{H}}) \oplus \mathcal{K}_\pi$ under each operator*

$$V_i := \begin{bmatrix} B_i \otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_{p,m,T}\mathcal{H}}} & 0 \\ 0 & \pi(B_i) \end{bmatrix}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n,$$

where $\Delta_{p,m,T} := [(id - \Phi_{p,T})^m (I)]^{1/2}$;

(ii) *$T_i^* = V_i^*|\mathcal{H}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$.*

Proof. Applying Arveson extension theorem [6] to the map $\Psi_{p,T,\mathcal{Q}}$ of Theorem 5.2, we find a unital completely positive linear map $\Psi_{p,T,\mathcal{Q}} : C^*(B_1,\dots,B_n) \to B(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\Psi_{p,T,\mathcal{Q}}(B_\alpha B_\beta^*) = T_\alpha T_\beta^*$ for $\alpha.\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$. Let $\tilde{\pi} : C^*(B_1,\dots,B_n) \to B(\tilde{\mathcal{K}})$ be a minimal Stinespring dilation [50] of $\Psi_{p,T,\mathcal{Q}}$. Then

$$\Psi_{p,T,\mathcal{Q}}(X) = P_{\mathcal{H}}\tilde{\pi}(X)|\mathcal{H}, \quad X \in C^*(B_1,\dots,B_n),$$

and $\tilde{\mathcal{K}} = \overline{\text{span}}\{\tilde{\pi}(X)h : \ h \in \mathcal{H}\}$. Now, one can easily see that that $P_{\mathcal{H}}\tilde{\pi}(B_i)|_{\mathcal{H}^\perp} = 0$, $i = 1,\dots,n$. Consequently, $\mathcal{H}$ is an invariant subspace under each $\tilde{\pi}(B_i)^*$, $i = 1,\dots,n$, and

$$\tilde{\pi}(B_i)^*|\mathcal{H} = \Psi_{p,T,\mathcal{Q}}(B_i^*) = T_i^*, \quad i = 1,\dots,n. \tag{6.3}$$

Since $1 \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{Q}}$, Theorem 5.3 implies that all the compact operators $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{Q}})$ in $B(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{Q}})$ are contained in the C^*-algebra $C^*(B_1,\dots,B_n)$. Due to standard theory of representations of C^*-algebras [5], representation $\tilde{\pi}$ decomposes into a direct sum $\tilde{\pi} = \pi_0 \oplus \pi$ on $\tilde{\mathcal{K}} = \mathcal{K}_0 \oplus \mathcal{K}_\pi$, where π_0, π are disjoint representations of $C^*(B_1,\dots,B_n)$ on the Hilbert spaces

$$\mathcal{K}_0 := \overline{\text{span}}\{\tilde{\pi}(X)\tilde{\mathcal{K}} : \ X \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{Q}})\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{K}_\pi := \mathcal{K}_0^\perp,$$

respectively, such that π annihilates the compact operators in $B(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{Q}})$, and π_0 is uniquely determined by the action of $\tilde{\pi}$ on the ideal $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{Q}})$ of compact operators. Since every representation of $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{Q}})$ is equivalent to a multiple of the identity representation, we deduce that

$$\mathcal{K}_0 \simeq \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{Q}} \otimes \mathcal{G}, \quad \pi_0(X) = X \otimes I_{\mathcal{G}}, \quad X \in C^*(B_1,\dots,B_n), \tag{6.4}$$

for some Hilbert space $\mathcal{G}$. Using Theorem 5.3 and its proof, one can easily see that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{K}_0 &:= \overline{\text{span}}\{\tilde{\pi}(X)\mathcal{K} : \ X \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{Q}})\}\\ &= \overline{\text{span}}\{\tilde{\pi}(B_\beta P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{Q}}} B_\alpha^*)\mathcal{K} : \ \alpha,\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+\}\\ &= \overline{\text{span}}\left\{\tilde{\pi}(B_\beta)\left[(id - \Phi_{p,\tilde{\pi}(B)})^m(I_{\mathcal{K}})\right]\mathcal{K} : \ \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

According to Theorem 5.3, the operator $(id - \Phi_{p,B})^m(I_{\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{Q}}}) = P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{Q}}}$ is a projection of rank one in $C^*(B_1,\dots,B_n)$. Hence, we deduce that $(id - \Phi_{p,\pi(B)})^m(I_{\mathcal{K}_\pi}) = 0$ and

$$\dim \mathcal{G} = \dim\left[\text{range}\,\pi(P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{Q}}})\right].$$

Since the Stinespring representation $\tilde{\pi}$ is minimal, we can use the proof of Theorem 5.3 to deduce that

$$\text{range}\,\tilde{\pi}(P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{Q}}}) = \overline{\text{span}}\{\tilde{\pi}(P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{Q}}})\tilde{\pi}(B_\beta^*)h : \ \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+, h \in \mathcal{H}\}.$$

On the other hand, it is easy to see that

$$\left\langle \tilde{\pi}(P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{Q}}})\tilde{\pi}(B_\alpha^*)h, \tilde{\pi}(P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{Q}}})\tilde{\pi}(B_\beta^*)k \right\rangle = \left\langle h, T_\alpha\left[(id - \Phi_{p,T})^m(I_{\mathcal{H}})\right]T_\beta^* h\right\rangle = \left\langle \Delta_{p,m,T}T_\alpha^* h, \Delta_{p,m,T}T_\beta^* k\right\rangle$$

for any $h,k \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\alpha,\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$. This implies the existence of a unitary operator $\Lambda : \text{range}\,\tilde{\pi}(P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{Q}}}) \to \overline{\Delta_{p,m,T}\mathcal{H}}$ defined by

$$\Lambda[\tilde{\pi}(P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{Q}}})\tilde{\pi}(B_\alpha^*)h] := \Delta_{p,m,T}T_\alpha^* h, \quad h \in \mathcal{H},\ \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+.$$

This shows that

$$\dim[\text{range}\,\pi(P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{Q}}})] = \dim \overline{\Delta_{p,m,T}\mathcal{H}} = \dim \mathcal{G}.$$

Using relations (6.3) and (6.4), and identifying $\mathcal{G}$ with $\overline{\Delta_{p,m,T}\mathcal{H}}$, we obtain the required dilation. On the other hand, due to the fact that $(id - \Phi_{p,\pi(B)})^m(I_{\mathcal{K}_\pi}) = 0$, we can use Lemma 6.2 to deduce that $\Phi_{p,\pi(B)}(I_{\mathcal{K}_\pi}) = I_{\mathcal{K}_\pi}$. The proof is complete. □

A few remarks are needed. A closer look at Theorem 6.3 reveals that one can replace the polynomial p with a positive regular free holomorphic function f and obtain a model theorem for any n-tuple $(T_1,\dots,T_n) \in \mathcal{V}_{f,\mathcal{Q}}^m(\mathcal{H})$ with the radial property. More precisely, one can show that there is a $*$-representation $\tilde{\pi} : C^*(B_1,\dots,B_n) \to B(\mathcal{K}_\pi)$ such that $\mathcal{H}$ is an invariant subspace under each operator $\tilde{\pi}(B_i)^*$ and $T_i^* = \tilde{\pi}(B_i)^*|_{\mathcal{H}}$ for $i = 1,\dots,n$.

On the other hand, notice that using the proof of Theorem 6.3 and due to the standard theory of representations of C^*-algebras, one can deduce the following Wold type decomposition for non-degenerate

$*$-representations of the C^*-algebra $C^*(B_1,\ldots,B_n)$, generated by the the constrained weighted shifts associated with $\mathcal{V}^m_{p,\mathcal{Q}}$, and the identity.

Corollary 6.4. *Let p be a positive regular noncommutative polynomial and let $\mathcal{Q}$ be a set of noncommutative polynomials such that $1\in\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q}$. Let $(B_1,\ldots,B_n)$ be the universal model associated with the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}^{(m)}_{p,\mathcal{Q}}$. If $\pi: C^*(B_1,\ldots,B_n)\to B(\mathcal{K})$ is a nondegenerate $*$-representation of $C^*(B_1,\ldots,B_n)$ on a separable Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}$, then π decomposes into a direct sum*

$$\pi=\pi_0\oplus\pi_1 \quad on \quad \mathcal{K}=\mathcal{K}_0\oplus\mathcal{K}_1,$$

where π_0 and π_1 are disjoint representations of $C^*(B_1,\ldots,B_n)$ on the Hilbert spaces

$$\mathcal{K}_0:=\overline{\text{span}}\left\{\pi(B_\beta)\left[\left(id-\Phi_{p,\pi(B)}\right)^m(I_\mathcal{K})\right]\mathcal{K}:\ \beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+\right\} \qquad and \qquad \mathcal{K}_1:=\mathcal{K}_0^\perp,$$

respectively, where $\pi(B):=(\pi(B_1),\ldots,\pi(B_n))$. Moreover, up to an isomorphism,

$$\mathcal{K}_0\simeq\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q}\otimes\mathcal{G},\quad \pi_0(X)=X\otimes I_\mathcal{G} \quad for \ \ X\in C^*(B_1,\ldots,B_n),$$

where $\mathcal{G}$ is a Hilbert space with $\dim\mathcal{G}=\dim\left\{\text{range }\left[\left(id-\Phi_{p,\pi(B)}\right)^m(I_\mathcal{K})\right]\right\}$, and π_1 is a $*$-representation which annihilates the compact operators and

$$\Phi_{p,\pi_1(B)}(I_{\mathcal{K}_1})=I_{\mathcal{K}_1}.$$

If π' is another nondegenerate $*$-representation of $C^*(B_1,\ldots,B_n)$ on a separable Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}'$, then π is unitarily equivalent to π' if and only if $\dim\mathcal{G}=\dim\mathcal{G}'$ and π_1 is unitarily equivalent to π_1'.

We remark that under the hypotheses and notations of Corollary 6.4, and setting $V_i:=\pi(B_i)$, $i=1,\ldots,n$, the following statements are equivalent:

(i) $V:=(V_1,\ldots,V_n)$ is a constrained weighted shift in the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}^m_{p,\mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{K})$;
(ii) $\text{SOT-}\lim_{k\to\infty}\Phi^k_{p,V}(I)=0$;
(iii) $\mathcal{K}=\overline{\text{span}}\left\{V_\beta\left[(id-\Phi_{p,V})^m(I)\right]\mathcal{K}:\ \beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+\right\}$;
(iv) $\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} b^{(m)}_\beta V_\beta\left[(id-\Phi_{p,V})^m(I)\right]V^*_\beta=I_\mathcal{K}$, where $b^{(m)}_\beta$ are the coefficients defined by (1.1).

We mention that, under the additional condition that

$$\overline{\text{span}}\left\{B_\alpha B^*_\beta:\ \alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+\right\}=C^*(B_1,\ldots,B_n),$$

the map $\Psi_{p,T,\mathcal{Q}}$ in the proof of Theorem 6.3 is unique. The uniqueness of the minimal Stinespring representation [50] and the the above-mentioned Wold type decomposition imply the uniqueness of the minimal dilation of Theorem 6.3.

Corollary 6.5. *Let $V:=(V_1,\ldots,V_n)\in\mathcal{V}^m_{p,\mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{K})$ be the dilation of $T:=(T_1,\ldots,T_n)\in\mathcal{V}^m_{p,\mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{H})$, given by Theorem 6.3. Then,*

(i) *V is a constrained weighted shift if and only if T is a pure n-tuple of operators;*
(ii) *$\Phi_{p,V}(I_{\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}})=I_{\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}}$ if and only if $\Phi_{p,T}(I_\mathcal{H})=I_\mathcal{H}$.*

Proof. According to Theorem 6.3, we have

$$\Phi^k_{p,T}(I_\mathcal{H})=P_\mathcal{H}\begin{bmatrix}\Phi^k_{p,B}(I_{\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q}})\otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_{p,m,T}\mathcal{H}}} & 0\\ 0 & I_{\mathcal{K}_\pi}\end{bmatrix}|\mathcal{H} \quad \text{for } \ k=1,2,\ldots,$$

which implies

$$\text{SOT-}\lim_{k\to\infty}\Phi^k_{p,T}(I_\mathcal{H})=P_\mathcal{H}\begin{bmatrix}0 & 0\\ 0 & I_{\mathcal{K}_\pi}\end{bmatrix}|\mathcal{H}.$$

Consequently, T is pure if and only if $P_\mathcal{H}P_{\mathcal{K}_\pi}|\mathcal{H}=0$. The latter condition is equivalent to $\mathcal{H}\perp(0\oplus\mathcal{K}_\pi)$, which, according to Theorem 6.3, is equivalent to $\mathcal{H}\subset\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q}\otimes\overline{\Delta_{p,m,T}\mathcal{H}}$. On the other hand, since $\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q}\otimes\overline{\Delta_{p,m,T}\mathcal{H}}$ is reducing for $V_1,\ldots,V_n$, and $\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}$ is the smallest reducing subspace for $V_1,\ldots,V_n$, which contains $\mathcal{H}$, we must have $\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}=\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q}\otimes\overline{\Delta_{p,m,T}\mathcal{H}}$. Therefore, item (i) holds.

To prove part (ii), note that

$$(id-\Phi_{p,V})^m(I_{\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}})=\begin{bmatrix}[(id-\Phi_{p,B})^m(I_{\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q}})]\otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_{p,m,T}\mathcal{H}}} & 0\\ 0 & 0\end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence, we deduce that $(id-\Phi_{p,V})^m(I_{\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}})=0$ if and only if $[(id-\Phi_{p,B})^m(I_{\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q}})]\otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_{p,m,T}\mathcal{H}}}=0$. On the other hand, we know that $(id-\Phi_{p,B})^m(I_{\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q}})=P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{Q}}$. Consequently, $(id-\Phi_{p,V})^m(I_{\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}})=0$ if and only if $\Delta_{p,m,T}=0$. Now, using Lemma 6.2, we obtain the equivalence in part (ii). The proof is complete. ☐

We mention now a few remarkable particular cases, when Theorem 6.3 applies.

Remark 6.6. (i) *In the particular case when* $m=1$, $n=1$, $p=X$, *and* $\mathcal{Q}=0$, *we obtain the classical isometric dilation theorem for contractions obtained by Sz.-Nagy* (*see* [51], [52]).

(ii) *When* $m=1$, $n\geq 2$, $p=X_1+\cdots+X_n$, *and* $\mathcal{Q}=0$ *we obtain the noncommutative dilation theorem for row contractions (see* [21], [13], [31]*).*

(iii) *In the single variable case, when* $m\geq 2$, $n=1$, $p=X$, *and* $\mathcal{Q}=0$, *the corresponding domain coincides with the set of all m-hypercontractions studied by Agler in* [1], [2], *and recently by Olofsson* [26], [27].

(iv) *When* $m\geq 2$, $n\geq 2$, $p=X_1+\cdots+X_n$, *and* $\mathcal{Q}=0$, *the elements of the corresponding domain* $\mathbf{D}_p^m(\mathcal{H})$ *can be seen as multivariable noncommutative analogues of Agler's m-hypercontractions.*

(v) *In the particular case when* $\mathcal{Q}_c$ *consists of the polynomials* $Z_iZ_j-Z_jZ_i$, $i,j=1,\ldots,n$, *we recover several results concerning model theory for commuting n-tuples of operators. The case* $n\geq 2$, $m\geq 2$, $p=X_1+\cdots+X_n$, *and* $\mathcal{Q}=\mathcal{Q}_c$, *was studied by Athavale* [9], *Müller* [24], *Müller-Vasilescu* [25], *Vasilescu* [49], *and Curto-Vasilescu* [14].

(vi) *When p is a positive regular noncommutative polynomial and* $\mathcal{Q}$ *consists of the polynomials*

$$W_iW_j-W_jW_i,\qquad i,j=1,\ldots,n,$$

we obtain the dilation theorem of S. Pott [48].

(vii) *When* $m=1$, $n\geq 1$, *and p is any positive regular noncommutative polynomial we find the dilation theorem obtained in* [47].

We expect to use the results of the present paper to obtain functional models for the elements of the noncommutative domain $\mathbf{D}_f^m(\mathcal{H})$ (resp. subvariety $\mathcal{V}_{f,\mathcal{Q}}^m(\mathcal{H})$), based on characteristic functions.

REFERENCES

[1] J. Agler, The Arveson extension theorem and coanalytic models, *Integral Equations Operator Theory* **5** (1982), 608-631.

[2] J. Agler, Hypercontractions and subnormality, *J. Operator Theory* **13** (1985), 203–217.

[3] A. Arias and G. Popescu, Noncommutative interpolation and Poisson transforms II, *Houston J. Math.* **25** (1999), No. 1, 79–97.

[4] A. Arias and G. Popescu, Noncommutative interpolation and Poisson transforms, *Israel J. Math.* **115** (2000), 205–234.

[5] W.B. Arveson, *An invitation to C^*-algebras*, Graduate Texts in Math., **39**. Springer-Verlag, New-York-Heidelberg, 1976.

[6] W.B. Arveson, Subalgebras of C^*-algebras, *Acta Math.* **123** (1969), 141–224.

[7] W.B. Arveson, Subalgebras of C^*-algebras III: Multivariable operator theory, *Acta Math.* **181** (1998), 159–228.

[8] W.B. Arveson, The curvature invariant of a Hilbert module over $\mathbb{C}[z_1,\ldots,z_n]$, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **522** (2000), 173–236.

[9] A. Athavale, On the intertwining of joint isometries, *J. Operator Theory* **23** (1990), 339–350.

[10] J. A. Ball, I. Gohberg, and L. Rodman, *Interpolation of Rational Matrix Functions*, **OT 45**, Birkhäuser-Verlag, Basel-Boston, 1990.

[11] J. A. Ball and V. Vinnikov, Lax-Phillips Scattering and Conservative Linear Systems: A Cuntz-Algebra Multidimensional Setting, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **837** (2005).

[12] F.A. Berezin, Covariant and contravariant symbols of operators, (Russian), *Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR Ser. Mat.* **36** (1972), 1134–1167.

[13] J. W. Bunce, Models for n-tuples of noncommuting operators, *J. Funct. Anal.* **57**(1984), 21–30.

[14] R.E. Curto, F.H. Vasilescu, Automorphism invariance of the operator-valued Poisson transform, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)* **57** (1993), 65–78.

[15] K. R. Davidson, E. Katsoulis, and D. Pitts, The structure of free semigroup algebras, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **533** (2001), 99–125.
[16] K.R. Davidson, D.W. Kribs, and M.E. Shpigel, Isometric dilations of non-commuting finite rank n-tuples, *Canad. J. Math.* **53** (2001), 506–545.
[17] K. R. Davidson and D. Pitts, Nevanlinna-Pick interpolation for noncommutative analytic Toeplitz algebras, *Integr. Equat. Oper.Th.* **31** (1998), 321–337.
[18] K.R. Davidson and D. Pitts, Invariant subspaces and hyper-reflexivity for free semigroup algebras, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **78** (1999), 401–430.
[19] K. R. Davidson and D. Pitts, Automorphisms and representations of the noncommutative analytic Toeplitz algebras, *Math. Ann.* **311** (1998), 275–303.
[20] S.W. Drurry, A generalization of von Neumann's inequality to complex ball, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **68** (1978), 300–404.
[21] A. E. Frazho, Models for noncommuting operators, *J. Funct. Anal.* **48** (1982), 1–11.
[22] C. Foias, A. E. Frazho, *The commutant lifting approach to interpolation problems*, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, Birhäuser Verlag, Bassel, 1990.
[23] C. Foias, A. E. Frazho, I. Gohberg, and M. Kaashoek, *Metric constrained interpolation, commutant lifting and systems*, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications vol. 100, Birhäuser Verlag, Bassel, 1998.
[24] V. Müller, Models for operators using weighted shifts, *J. Operator Theory* **20** (1988), 3–20.
[25] V. Müller and F.H. Vasilescu, Standard models for some commuting multioperators, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **117** (1993), 979–989.
[26] A. Olofsson, A characteristic operator function for the class of n-hypercontractions, *J. Funct. Anal.* **236** (2006), no. 2, 517–545.
[27] A. Olofsson, An operator-valued Berezin transform and the class of n-hypercontractions, preprint.
[28] V.I. Paulsen, *Completely Bounded Maps and Dilations*, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics, Vol.146, New York, 1986.
[29] G. Pisier, *Similarity Problems and Completely Bounded Maps*, Springer Lect. Notes Math., Vol.1618, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
[30] G. Popescu, Models for infinite sequences of noncommuting operators, *Acta. Sci. Math. (Szeged)* **53** (1989), 355–368.
[31] G. Popescu, Isometric dilations for infinite sequences of noncommuting operators, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **316** (1989), 523–536.
[32] G. Popescu, Characteristic functions for infinite sequences of noncommuting operators, *J. Operator Theory* **22** (1989), 51–71.
[33] G. Popescu, Multi-analytic operators and some factorization theorems, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **38** (1989), 693–710.
[34] G. Popescu, Von Neumann inequality for $(B(H)^n)_1$, *Math. Scand.* **68** (1991), 292–304.
[35] G. Popescu, Functional calculus for noncommuting operators, *Michigan Math. J.* **42** (1995), 345–356.
[36] G. Popescu, Multi-analytic operators on Fock spaces, *Math. Ann.* **303** (1995), 31–46.
[37] G. Popescu, Noncommutative disc algebras and their representations, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **124** (1996), 2137–2148.
[38] G. Popescu, Interpolation problems in several variables, *J. Math Anal. Appl.* **227** (1998), 227–250.
[39] G. Popescu, Poisson transforms on some C^*-algebras generated by isometries, *J. Funct. Anal.* **161** (1999), 27–61.
[40] G. Popescu, Curvature invariant for Hilbert modules over free semigroup algebras, *Adv. Math.* **158** (2001), 264–309.
[41] G. Popescu, Similarity and ergodic theory of positive linear maps, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **561** (2003), 87–129.
[42] G. Popescu, Entropy and Multivariable Interpolation, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **184** (868) (2006).
[43] G. Popescu, Operator theory on noncommutative varieties, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **56** (2006), 389–442.
[44] G. Popescu, Free holomorphic functions on the unit ball of $B(\mathcal{H})^n$, *J. Funct. Anal.* **241** (2006), 268–333.
[45] G. Popescu, Operator theory on noncommutative varieties II, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, to appear.
[46] G. Popescu, Unitary invariants in multivariable operator theory, preprint 2004.
[47] G. Popescu, Operator theory on noncommutative domains, preprint 2006.
[48] S. Pott, Standard models under polynomial positivity conditions, *J. Operator Theory* **41** (1999), no. 2, 365–389.
[49] F.H. Vasilescu, An operator-valued Poisson kernel, *J. Funct. Anal.* **110** (1992), 47–72.
[50] W.F. Stinespring, Positive functions on C^*-algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **6** (1955) 211–216.
[51] B. Sz.-Nagy, Sur les contractions de l'espace de Hilbert, *Acta. Sci. Math. (Szeged)* **15** (1953), 87–92.
[52] B. Sz.-Nagy and C. Foiaş, *Harmonic Analysis of Operators on Hilbert Space*, North Holland, New York 1970.
[53] J. von Neumann, Eine Spectraltheorie für allgemeine Operatoren eines unitären Raumes, *Math. Nachr.* **4** (1951), 258–281.

Department of Mathematics, The University of Texas at San Antonio, San Antonio, TX 78249, USA
E-mail address: `gelu.popescu@utsa.edu`